Reduced RLT constraints for polynomial programming

Sonia Cafieri, Pierre Hansen, Lucas Létocart, Leo Liberti, Frédéric Messine

Reduced RLT constraints for polynomial programming

Sonia Cafieri
Pierre Hansen
Lucas Létocart
Leo Liberti
Frédéric Messine

Abstract. An extension of the reduced Reformulation-Linearization Technique constraints from quadratic to general polynomial programming problems with linear equality constraints is presented and a strategy to improve the associated convex relaxation is proposed.

1. Introduction

Reduced RLT constraints (rRLT) are a special class of Reformulation-Linearization Technique (RLT) constraints, that apply to nonconvex (both continuous and mixed-integer) quadratic programming problems subject to linear equality constraints [2, 4, 3]. rRLT are obtained by replacing some of the quadratic terms with suitable linear constraints. These turn out to be a subset of the RLT constraints for quadratic programming [7].

We present an extension of the rRLT theory to the case of general polynomial programs. Then, we show a strategy to choose the basis of a matrix involved in the rRLT constraints generation so as to tighten the bound of the associated convex relaxation. This allows to improve the performance of a spatial Branch-and-Bound algorithm applied to nonconvex NLP and MINLP problems where such convex relaxation is computed at each node.

2. Extending rRLT to polynomial programs

Let n be the number of variables, q the degree of the polynomials in the targeted problem and $\mathcal{N} = \{1, \ldots, n\}$, $Q = \{2, \ldots, q\}$. For each monomial $x_{j_1} \cdots x_{j_p}$, $p \in Q$, appearing in the problem, we define a finite sequence $J = (j_1, \ldots, j_p)$ and consider defining constraints of the following form:

$$w_J = \prod_{\ell \le |J|} x_{j_\ell} \tag{2.1}$$

(for $|J| = 1$, i.e. $J = (j)$, we also define $w_J = x_j$). For all $p \in Q$, $J \in \mathcal{N}^p$ and any permutation π in the symmetric group S_p we have that $w_J = w_{\pi J}$ by commutativity. We therefore define an equivalence relation $\sim$ on $\mathcal{N}^p$ stating that for $J, K \in \mathcal{N}^p$, $J \sim K$ only if $\exists \pi \in S_p$ such that $J = \pi K$. We then consider the index tuple set $\bar{\mathcal{N}}^p = \mathcal{N}^p / \sim$ to quantify over when indexing variables w_J.

We multiply the original linear constraints $Ax = b$ by all monomials $\prod_{\ell \le p-1} x_{j_\ell}$ and replace them by the corresponding added variables $w_{(J',j)}$, where $J' \in \bar{\mathcal{N}}^{p-1}$. This yields the following rRLTS:

$$\forall p \in Q, J' \in \bar{\mathcal{N}}^{p-1} \quad A\,\mathbf{w}_{J'} = b w_{J'}, \tag{2.2}$$

where $\mathbf{w}_{J'} = (w_{(J',1)}, \ldots, w_{(J',n)})$. We then consider the companion system:

$$\forall p \in Q, J' \in \bar{\mathcal{N}}^{p-1} \quad A\,\mathbf{z}_{J'} = 0. \tag{2.3}$$

Since (2.3) is a linear homogeneous system, there is a matrix M such that the companion system is equivalent to $Mz = 0$, the columns of which are indexed by sequences in $\bar{\mathcal{N}}^p$. We let $B \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{N}}^p$

Keywords: polynomial, MINLP, sBB, convex relaxation, RLT.

and $N \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{N}}^p$ be index sets for basic and nonbasic columns of M. We define the following sets:

$$\begin{aligned} C &= \{(x,w) \mid Ax = b \wedge \forall p \in Q, J \in \bar{\mathcal{N}}^p (w_J = \prod_{\ell \le |J|} x_{j_\ell})\} \\ R_N &= \{(x,w) \mid Ax = b \wedge \forall p \in Q, J' \in \bar{\mathcal{N}}^{p-1} (A\,\mathbf{w}_{J'} = b w_{J'}) \wedge \\ &\quad \forall J \in N (w_J = \prod_{\ell \le |J|} x_{j_\ell})\}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1. *For each partition B, N into basic and nonbasic column indices for the companion system $Mz = 0$, we have $C = R_N$.*

3. Tightening the convex relaxation

Replacing C with R_N for some nonbasis N effectively replaces some monomial terms with linear constraints, and therefore contributes to simplify the problem. A convex relaxation for the reformulated problem is readily obtained by applying monomial convexification methods in the literature [5, 6, 1]. We observe that for any given linear system there is in general more than one way to partition the variables in basics and nonbasics. Hence the set B can be chosen in such a way as to decrease the discrepancy between the feasible region and its convex relaxation. Given $f : X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ and the sets $S = \{(x,w) \mid w = f(x)\}$ and $\bar{S} = \{(x,w) \mid \underline{f}(x) \le w \le \bar{f}(x)\}$, where $\underline{f}(x)$, $\bar{f}(x)$ are respectively a convex lower and a concave upper bounding function for f (and hence $\bar{S}$ is a convex relaxation of S), the *convexity gap* between S and $\bar{S}$ can be defined as the volume $V(S)$ of the set $\bar{S}$. Explicit expressions of $V(S)$ can be derived for a quadratic term x_i^2, for a bilinear term $x_i x_j$ using the Cayley-Menger formula in 3 dimensions, and for a general monomial, exploiting associativity recursively to rewrite it as product of lower degree monomials and using the preceding results.

Let B, N be the basic/nonbasic sets of column indices of the companion system, which we can write as $M_B z_B + M_N z_N = 0$. The elements of B, N are sequences $J \in \mathscr{M}$. For $S \subseteq \mathscr{M}$ and $p \in Q$ we define $V^{S,p} = \sum_{\substack{J \in S \\ |J| = p}} V_J$ and $V^S = \sum_{p \in Q} V^{S,p}$. If, for all $p \in Q$, $V^{N,p} < V^{\beta,p}$ then the total convexity gap of R_N is smaller than that of C. Thus, we aim to find N such that $V^{N,p}$ is minimized, or equivalently, to find B such that $V^{B,p}$ is maximized for all $p \in Q$. This yields the multi-objective problem:

$$\left.\begin{array}{rl} \forall p \in Q & \max V^{B,p} \\ M_B \text{ is a basis of (2.3)} & \end{array}\right\} \quad (3.1)$$

It can be shown that (3.1) is equivalent to a single-objective problem: any solution B of (3.1) maximizing V^B also maximizes $V^{B,p}$ for all $p \in Q$. In this way, we have derived a technique to choose a good basis for the companion system so as to improve the chances of tightening the lower bound of the convex relaxation associated to rRLT.

Preliminary computational experiments carried out on a set of randomly generated instances of the convex Quadratic Knapsack Problem (cQKP) show that the proposed strategy is promising in improving performances of a spatial Branch-and-Bound algorithm.

Bibliography

[1] S. Cafieri, J. Lee, and L. Liberti. On convex relaxations of quadrilinear terms. *Journal of Global Optimization*, DOI 10.1007/s10898-009-9484-1, 2009.

[2] L. Liberti. Linearity embedded in nonconvex programs. *Journal of Global Optimization*, 33(2):157–196, 2005.

[3] L. Liberti. Compact linearization of binary quadratic problems. *4OR*, 5(3):231–245, 2007.

[4] L. Liberti and C.C. Pantelides. An exact reformulation algorithm for large nonconvex NLPs involving bilinear terms. *Journal of Global Optimization*, 36:161–189, 2006.

[5] G.P. McCormick. Computability of global solutions to factorable nonconvex programs: Part I — Convex underestimating problems. *Mathematical Programming*, 10:146–175, 1976.

[6] C.A. Meyer and C.A. Floudas. Trilinear monomials with mixed sign domains: Facets of the convex and concave envelopes. *Journal of Global Optimization*, 29:125–155, 2004.

[7] H.D. Sherali and A. Alameddine. A new reformulation-linearization technique for bilinear programming problems. *Journal of Global Optimization*, 2:379–410, 1992.

SONIA CAFIERI
ENAC,
7 av. E. Belin,
31055 Toulouse, France
sonia.cafieri@enac.fr

PIERRE HANSEN
GERAD, HEC Montréal,
3000, chemin de la Côte-Sainte-Catherine,
Montréal, Canada
pierre.hansen@gerad.ca

LUCAS LÉTOCART
LIPN, Univ. de Paris Nord,
Av. J.B. Clément,
93430 Villetaneuse, France
lucas.letocart@lipn.univ-paris13.fr

LEO LIBERTI
LIX, École Polytechnique,
91128 Palaiseau, France
liberti@lix.polytechnique.fr

FRÉDÉRIC MESSINE
ENSEEIHT-IRIT,
2 rue Charles Camichel,
31071 Toulouse, France
frederic.messine@n7.fr