



Autonomous navigation of a UAV based on multimodal integration

Alexandre Ravet, Bertrand Vandepoortaele, Gautier Hattenberger, Simon Lacroix

► **To cite this version:**

Alexandre Ravet, Bertrand Vandepoortaele, Gautier Hattenberger, Simon Lacroix. Autonomous navigation of a UAV based on multimodal integration. IMAV 2012, International Micro Air Vehicle Conference and Flight Competition, Jul 2012, Braunschweig, Germany. pp xxxx. hal-00938714

HAL Id: hal-00938714

<https://hal-enac.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-00938714>

Submitted on 19 May 2014

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Autonomous navigation of a UAV based on multimodal integration

Alexandre Ravet^{*†}, Bertrand Vandepoortaele^{*‡}, Gautier Hattenberger[§] and Simon Lacroix^{*†}

^{*}CNRS, LAAS, 7 Avenue du Colonel Roche, F-31400 Toulouse, France
Firstname.Name@laas.fr

[†]Univ de Toulouse, LAAS, F-31400, Toulouse, France

[‡]Univ de Toulouse, LAAS, UPS, F-31400, Toulouse, France

[§]ENAC, 7 Avenue Edouard Belin, BP-54005, Toulouse
Firstname.Name@enac.fr

I. INTRODUCTION

While most of the UAV mission scenarios considered in the literature inherently need the robot to combine multiple and often conflicting objectives, in practice, most of systems finally decompose the problem by switching between exclusive behaviors, i.e. controllers. As an example, the Paparazzi system developed at ENAC [1] provides autonomous flight plan execution by statically selecting the appropriate controller according to the current objective.

When multiple objectives should be simultaneously considered (such as obstacle avoidance and goal seeking), proposed methods mainly focus on estimation fusion techniques in state space [2]. At a high level, it can also be seen as a multiobjective optimization problem where the main goal is to find an optimal point in task-specific performance space [3].

Although these methods show good results, they have been studied separately, resulting in various solutions for individual applications, following a user-designed sequence or using ad-hoc formalisms to describe a complex but non-flexible behavior. Little progress has been made in integrating these competences into a single dynamic system which carries out connection between multiple navigation and action modes.

II. OUR APPROACH

According to a behavior-based control approach, as originally introduced in [4], we propose to decompose the complex global behavior required to accomplish a mission into different navigation modes, each of which independently matching a perceived situation to a command action. In this manner, and considering in a first time basic modes that all provide homogeneous low level commands, the fusion can occur in command space, where the outputs from individual navigation modes are mixed to produce the final command.

We believe this method could be extended to the autonomous execution of complex flight plans requiring sequential or simultaneous fusion of navigation modes, with the following advantages :

- Inherent ability of fusing navigation modes requiring to be designed in specific heterogeneous state space.
- Smooth mode transitions.
- Improved robustness due to parallel operation of multiple modes potentially overlapping in functionalities.
- Real flexibility in mode integration and therefore in mission types.

III. CURRENT AND FUTURE WORK

A first step in investigating the general problem of fusing commands provided by different modes is considered through the case of multiple human operators that can be seen as distinctive navigation modes providing conflicting and simultaneous low level commands (e.g. roll angle ϕ , pitch angle θ , yaw angular velocity $\dot{\psi}$, and thrust T).

Future work will consist in extending the fusion method to a complex navigation system able to choose the most appropriate and reliable modes according to a high-level flight plan. Then, depending on the current objectives we would like to give the system the capability of choosing in which level fusion would be more relevant. (What could happen in low-level command space as well as for high-level defined objectives).

REFERENCES

- [1] P. Brisset, A. Drouin, M. Gorraz, P. Huard, and J. Tyler, "The paparazzi solution," 2006. [Online]. Available: <http://paparazzi.enac.fr>
- [2] S. Grzonka, G. Grisetti, and W. Burgard, "Towards a navigation system for autonomous indoor flying," in *Proc. IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation (ICRA)*, Kobe, Japan, 2009.
- [3] V. P. Jilkov and X. R. Li, "On fusion of multiple objectives for uav search & track path optimization," *Journal of advances in information fusion*, vol. 4, no. 1, 2009.
- [4] R. Brooks, "A robust layered control system for a mobile robot," *Robotics and Automation, IEEE Journal of*, vol. 2, no. 1, pp. 14 – 23, mar 1986.