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Abstract—This paper introduces a method to calculate the VOR error due to multipath from several known scatterers within known quantiles. In such a configuration, the amplitudes of the multipaths can be numerically or analytically calculated, whereas their phases are modelled as uniformly distributed. A probabilistic formulation of the VOR error that overestimates its variance is introduced to obtain the quantiles. The method is useful to obtain probabilities of occurrence of large VOR errors for multiple configurations and in a short computation time. Examples with wind farms are presented.

Index Terms—quantiles, VOR error, multipaths, wind turbines.

I. INTRODUCTION

The erection of buildings nearby VOR (VHF Omnidirectional Range) stations and radar systems are a serious concern for the air transport community. For instance, the growing development of wind farms nearby VOR stations induces bearing error for aircraft. This error has to be anticipated to allow or forbid the construction of wind farms near the stations.

Several modelling techniques have been proposed during the last decade to calculate the VOR error from the multipath. Some of them include a simple model of the CVOR (Conventional VOR) receiver\textsuperscript{[1]} to quantify the VOR error\textsuperscript{[2]}. They suppose the knowledge of the amplitude and phase of each multipath. The drawback of these approaches is that the obtained VOR error is deterministic. Therefore, the unavoidable uncertainties on the phases make the VOR error hard to interpret\textsuperscript{[4]}.

Usually, for a building project to be accepted by air transport regulation, one must ensure that during any in-flight test, the measured VOR error is smaller than a given threshold (typically \(5\%\)). This error can be exceeded on few positions (typically \(5\%\) of the trajectory). Quantiles perfectly fit for this type of information.

In this paper, we introduce random variables in the VOR error due to multipaths with a deterministic modelling of the multipath amplitudes and uniformly distributed phases. We obtain quantiles on the VOR error that are easy to interpret. The difficulty to define the quantiles is that the multipaths have different amplitudes, which prevents from using the central limit theorem (CLT). An approximation of the VOR error is proposed in this paper to overcome this difficulty.

First, the formulation of the VOR error is introduced. The CVOR is studied here. The adaptation to DVOR (Doppler VOR) is straightforward. Then, the quantiles are defined for multipaths having all the same amplitude. An approximate expression of the VOR error when the amplitudes of the multipaths are different is introduced. It is used to obtain quantiles of the VOR error. Finally, simulation results are presented to show the relevancy of the proposed probabilistic approach.

II. FORMULATION OF THE QUANTILES FOR THE VOR ERROR

A. Deterministic expression of the VOR error

According to Odunaiya and Quinet\textsuperscript{[1]}, with a simple CVOR receiver model, the VOR error \(\varepsilon\) due to \(N\) multipaths can be approximated by

\[
\varepsilon = \tan^{-1}\left( \frac{\sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n \cos(\theta_n - \theta_0) \sin(\varphi_n - \varphi_0)}{1 + \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n \cos(\theta_n - \theta_0) \cos(\varphi_n - \varphi_0)} \right),
\]

where \(\theta_0\) and \(\varphi_0\) are the phase and the azimuth of the direct signal (from the VOR station to the aircraft). \(\theta_n\) and \(\varphi_n\) are the phase and the azimuth of the \(n\)th multipath. \(a_n\) represents the amplitude of the \(n\)th multipath normalised by the amplitude of the direct signal.

The linear approximation, valid for \(a_n \ll 1\), is used in this work:

\[
\varepsilon \approx \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n \cos(\theta_n - \theta_0) \sin(\varphi_n - \varphi_0).
\]

In the air transport context, we look for the maximal value of the VOR error. We choose the worst case concerning the orientation of the scatterers. It corresponds to \(\sin(\varphi_n - \varphi_0) = 1\), \(\forall n\), such that

\[
\varepsilon \approx \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n \cos(\theta_n - \theta_0).
\]

If one wants a finer information, the sin could be gathered with the multipath amplitude. In this case, the method presented in this paper could be used by replacing \(a_n\) by \(a_n' = a_n \sin(\varphi_n - \varphi_0)\) in (3).

From now on, we suppose that the normalised multipath amplitudes \(a_n\) (or \(a_n'\)) are known. In the numerical simulations presented in this paper, they are calculated with a deterministic method based on a hybridisation between physical optics and...
parabolic equation solved by split-step Fourier [4]. However, any method giving the $a_n$ (or an overestimation of the $a_n$) can be used.

B. Probabilistic VOR error for one multipath

We assume first that there is one multipath. We suppose that $\theta_1$ is a random variable uniformly distributed in $[0, \pi]$, the $\cos$ function being even. $X$ is the random variable such that $X = \cos(\theta)$. Then, $X$ follows the arccos distribution with the cumulative distribution function

$$F_X(x) = \frac{\arccos(x)}{\pi}, \quad \forall x \in [-1; 1], \quad (4)$$

and the probability density function

$$f_X(x) = \frac{1}{\pi \sqrt{1 - x^2}}, \quad \forall x \in [-1; 1]. \quad (5)$$

The mean and variance of $X$ are $0$ and $1/2$, respectively. Therefore, the probabilistic VOR error with one multipath follows the distribution

$$g_1(x) = \frac{a_1}{\pi \sqrt{1 - x^2}}, \quad \forall x \in [-1; 1], \quad (6)$$

of null mean and variance $a_1^2/2$.

C. Probabilistic VOR error for $N$ multipaths of the same amplitude

In this section, we suppose that there are $N$ multipaths with the same amplitude: $a_n = a_1, \forall n$. Then, the VOR error becomes

$$\varepsilon = a_1 \sum_{n=1}^{N} \cos(\theta_n - \theta_0). \quad (7)$$

Therefore, the error follows the probabilistic distribution

$$S_1(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_1 X_n = a_1 \sum_{n=1}^{N} X_n, \quad (8)$$

with $X_n = X, \forall n$. All the multipaths have the amplitude $a_1$. The variance of $S_1$ is then $Na_1^2/2$.

In this case, the term $\sum_{n=1}^{N} X_n$ is the sum of independent and identically distributed (iid) random variables. For low numbers of scatterers (up to 10), the quantiles can be tabulated. For large numbers of scatterers, the CLT is used to assimilate the sum to a Gaussian distribution. The convergence to a Gaussian distribution can be shown with quantile-quantile plots. From this step, the quantiles on the error are easily obtained.

D. Probabilistic VOR error for $N$ multipaths with different amplitudes

If the multipaths have different amplitudes, the sum follows the probabilistic distribution

$$S_1(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n X_n. \quad (9)$$

In this formula, the multipaths follow different probabilistic distributions that cannot be tabulated ($a_n$ is unknown $a priori$).

The CLT cannot be used since the iid hypothesis is not fulfilled. A first solution is to consider

$$S_{\text{max}}(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_{\text{max}} X_n, \quad (10)$$

with $a_{\text{max}} = \max_{n} a_n$. The random variables $X_n$ are supposed iid. Then, the previous method can be employed. The variance of $S_{\text{max}}$ is $Na_{\text{max}}^2/2$. Therefore, this simplification drastically overestimates the real quantiles if the multipaths have different amplitudes.

However, a method to obtain finer quantiles is proposed now. Let us define an approximation of the VOR error $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ by

$$\tilde{\varepsilon} = \left( \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n \right) \left( \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{N} \cos(\theta_n - \theta_0) \right)$$

$$= \varepsilon_{\text{max}} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{N} \cos(\theta_n - \theta_0) \right). \quad (11)$$

$\varepsilon_{\text{max}}$ is defined as the sum of the amplitudes of all the multipaths. Note here that $\tilde{\varepsilon}$ is not an overestimation of $\varepsilon$.

From $\tilde{\varepsilon}$, we define the random variable $\tilde{S}$ as

$$\tilde{S} = \tilde{\varepsilon} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{N} X_n \right). \quad (12)$$

We have

$$\text{Var}(\tilde{S}) = \varepsilon_{\text{max}}^2 \text{Var} \left( \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{N} X_n \right) = \varepsilon_{\text{max}}^2 \frac{1}{N^2} N$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{\text{max}}^2. \quad (13)$$

Therefore,

$$\text{Var}(\tilde{S}) \geq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n^2 = \text{Var}(S). \quad (14)$$

The probabilistic distribution $\tilde{S}$ defined in (12) is a product of a deterministic and a probabilistic terms. Quantiles are obtained from the probabilistic term (tabulated for $N \leq 10$ and given by the CLT for $N > 10$). A weighting is then applied with the deterministic term. As $\text{Var}(\tilde{S}) \geq \text{Var}(S)$, the quantiles are overestimated with respect to the real sum (9), which is relevant with the air transport context.

Of course, the quantiles obtained with $S_{\text{max}}$ are greater than the ones obtained with $\tilde{S}$ (equal if all the multipaths have the same amplitude).

E. Quantiles for multipath power fading

Note that a similar strategy can be adopted to calculate the attenuation power within a given quantile due to $N$ independent multipaths of known amplitudes. It is even easier since the quantiles are directly obtained from the sum of the uniform distributions of the phases.
III. SIMULATION RESULTS

A. Methodology

All the following numerical simulations are performed using PEPO method (hybridisation parabolic wave equation / physical optics) [4]. The application cases involve wind farms near a CVOR station.

The adopted method is the following: several wind turbines are arbitrarily placed near a CVOR station. For each wind turbine, the maximum amplitude of the multipath due to this wind turbine along the trajectory is obtained with PEPO. Then, the VOR error due to all the wind turbines is modelled with PEPO along the trajectory where the VOR error is the largest. Then, we look at the statistical behaviour of this error, and compare it with the quantiles computed with expression (11).

B. Test case 1: circular wind farm around the VOR station

The first scenario is illustrated in Figure 1. The VOR station is at coordinates (0, 0). 1 to 50 wind turbines are circularly placed around the station at 10 km (each cross represents a wind turbine in the figure). The VOR error is calculated using the PEPO method along the trajectory in blue, from coordinates (0, 0) km to coordinates (65, 0) km. The altitude of the aircraft is 3600 ft (1097 m).

We focus on the distances $\rho$ 10 to 35 km, where the envelope of the error is the strongest. From this simulation, repeated with 1 to 50 wind turbines, the probabilistic and deterministic methods can be compared. The example of the VOR error simulated along the trajectory with 50 wind turbines is plotted in Figure 2.

In Figure 3, several VOR error levels are plotted with respect to the number of wind turbines. $\epsilon_{\text{max}}$, corresponding to the maximum value of the VOR error is plotted in black. The theoretical quantiles at 90 % and 95 % are plotted in green and red, respectively. For example, when there are 20 wind turbines, the error is less than 1° at least 90 % of the cases. It is compared to the values obtained from the deterministic case, in blue and purple. To obtain the deterministic 90 % plot, we seek from the simulated VOR error along the trajectory (Figure 2 for example) what is the maximum error when the 10 % largest are removed. This is repeated for 1 to 50 wind turbines. The deterministic 95 % plot is obtained the same way.

C. Test case 2: wind farm away from the VOR station

For this second test case, the wind farm is away from the station, between 5 and 6 km, as seen in Figure 4. It corresponds to a more realistic case. The VOR error is simulated along the trajectory represented in blue. The corresponding simulated VOR error is represented in Figure 5.

From this simulation, the same results on the quantiles are plotted in Figure 6 (see section III-B for the details). Only the probabilistic method is validated if the quantiles always overestimate the deterministic result. For large numbers of wind turbines, the phases are random enough, and the method is successful. For few wind turbines, we suspect that the very regular geometry goes against the iid hypothesis on the phases.

The probabilistic expression and estimated with PEPO.
Fig. 4. Geometry of the second test case. The VOR station is at the origin. The crosses represent wind turbines.

Fig. 5. VOR error simulated with PEPO in the configuration of the distant wind farm with 50 wind turbines with respect to the distance $\rho$ from the VOR station.

Fig. 6. Quantiles at 90 %, 95 %, and 99 % and maximum error. Probabilistic expression (−) and estimated with PEPO (+).

IV. Conclusion

This paper introduces a strategy to obtain quantiles of the VOR error due to multiple scatterers. It is based on an approximation of the VOR error that overestimates the variance of the error, such that the quantiles obtained from the approximated error include the real ones.

Numerical simulations show the relevancy of the proposed approach.

This strategy can be easily adapted to obtain quantiles on power fading due to multipaths in the radio-communication context.
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