

# First Order Hadamard Variation of the Harmonic Navigation Function on a Sphere World

Isabelle Santos, Stéphane Puechmorel, Guillaume Dufour

► **To cite this version:**

Isabelle Santos, Stéphane Puechmorel, Guillaume Dufour. First Order Hadamard Variation of the Harmonic Navigation Function on a Sphere World. *Mathematical and computational applications*, MDPI, 2018, 23, 3, 10.3390/mca23030048 . hal-01855142

**HAL Id: hal-01855142**

**<https://hal-enac.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01855142>**

Submitted on 7 Aug 2018

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Article

# First Order Hadamard Variation of the Harmonic Navigation Function on a Sphere World

Isabelle Santos <sup>1</sup>, Stéphane Puechmorel <sup>2\*</sup> and Guillaume Dufour <sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Université de Toulouse, ENAC; isabelle.santos@enac.fr,

<sup>2</sup> Université de Toulouse, ENAC; stephane.puechmorel@enac.fr

<sup>3</sup> ONERA, Toulouse; guillaume.dufour@onera.fr

\* Correspondence: stephane.puechmorel@enac.fr; Tel.: +33-5-62259503

Version August 7, 2018 submitted to Math. Comput. Appl.; Typeset by L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X using class file mdpi.cls

**Abstract:** Planning conflict-free trajectories is a long-standing problem in Air Traffic Management. Navigation functions designed specifically to produce flyable trajectories have been previously considered, but lack the robustness to uncertain weather conditions needed for use in an operational context. These uncertainties can be taken into account by modifying the boundary of the domain on which the navigation function is computed. In the following work, we present a method for efficiently taking into account boundary variations, using the Hadamard variation.

**Keywords:** Navigation Function, Hadamard Formula, Trajectory Planning, Air Traffic Control.

## 1. Introduction

The aviation industry currently faces meaningful challenges to overcome the increasing traffic across the world. Safety solutions are a demand in all flight phases in order to cope with traffic capacity, all-weather conditions and efficiency. In order to address the 5% per year increase in air traffic, the Single European Sky ATM Research (SESAR) program in Europe and the NextGen program in the USA have been initiated to design new rules and tools for future air traffic management (ATM).

Each flight phase has specific operational constraints. Of interest here is the en-route flight phase. This phase is comprised from completion of initial climb through cruise altitude and completion of controlled descent to the initial approach fix. En-route air traffic is currently managed by subdividing airspace into sectors and air routes. In each sector, a team of air traffic controllers supervises the transiting aircraft and prevents conflicts by deviating some aircraft from their planned route. Each team communicates with the teams responsible for neighbouring sectors to transfer aircraft flying through several sectors.

As traffic grows, the workload in a sector may exceed air traffic controller capabilities. In this case, the sector is subdivided into smaller sectors, thus lowering the number of aircraft under the responsibility of an air traffic controller at any given time. However, this increases the time spent transferring aircraft between sectors up to the point where coordinating between sectors takes precedence over separating aircraft and solving conflicts. The SESAR program overcomes this pitfall by delegating most of the separation task to the aircraft themselves, thus inducing a higher level of automation. In this context, fixed air routes will no longer be necessary and trajectories will be planned in 4D in such a way that conflicts are avoided by design.

A major challenge associated with aircraft trajectory planning, in addition to operational constraints and flight dynamics, is the influence of wind. Much research has been conducted to plan such routes several days in advance. However, no algorithm is known to produce planning such that the resulting aircraft trajectories be robust to uncertain head- or tailwind. Artificial potential

fields, as first used by [14], enable a mobile to reach a destination while avoiding obstacles in a complex environment. This method has been extended to dynamic environments, with multiple mobile trajectory planning as in [15], coordinating between mobiles in [31] and moving obstacles in [8]. Navigation functions, a specific case of artificial potential fields, introduced originally for robots trajectory planning by [6] and [25], were extended to generate aircraft trajectories. Such trajectories meet ATM restrictions on speed and curvature. Furthermore, a proof of conflict avoidance can be obtained. Navigation functions have also been used to plan trajectories under a wind constraint in [23] for sailboat navigation. Recent developments in navigation functions also include their application to the case of real-time spacecraft guidance [26], or in uncertain environments [9].

However, the navigation function works under the assumption that aircraft or other mobiles move in a deterministic way. We present in this paper a method to account for time uncertainty in aircraft trajectory planning. To account for this uncertainty, we consider the variation of the navigation function with respect to variations of the boundary of the configuration space. Of interest in this paper is the practical construction of Hadamard's variational formula, as presented in [10] for the Green's function of the Laplace equation on a 2-dimensional sphere world.

The purpose of this paper is to present a method for computing the Hadamard variational formula given a specific domain perturbation. This variational formula requires knowledge of the Green's function on the initial domain. Numerical evaluations of the Green's function for certain problems, such as the Helmholtz operator on periodic structures [13], heat diffusion in 1D [1], the elliptic problem [2], or the exterior Neumann problem [28].

An analytical expression of the Green's function for Laplace's equation has also been obtained in multiply connected domains [3]. However, this formulation is difficult to implement in practice, as it relies on the Schottky-Klein prime function [4].

The motivation for this paper is from designing schemes to plan trajectories for multiple mobiles under uncertainty using harmonic navigation functions.

After a state of the art on navigation functions in section 2.1, section 2.2 presents a method for numerically computing the Green's function of the Laplacian operator, and section 2.3 applies this method to the computation of the variation of the navigation function with respect to the domain boundary variation. The methods are illustrated and the results for this method are exhibited in section 3. Finally, future work is outlined in section 4.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1. Navigation functions

Navigation functions on an arbitrary sphere world were introduced by Rimon and Koditschek [16] in the context of robot navigation for generating trajectories that present a guarantee of obstacle avoidance. Navigation function are a family of potential functions, with their maximum on the boundary of the obstacles, their minimum at the destination and no interior local minimum or maximum. Formally, navigation functions are defined as follows:

**Definition 1.** A map  $\varphi : E^n \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a navigation function on a compact connected smooth manifold  $\mathcal{F} \subset E^n$  if it is:

1. Analytic on the interior of  $\mathcal{F}$
2. Polar on  $\mathcal{F}$  with a minimum at an interior point  $q_d \in \circ\mathcal{F}$
3. Admissible on  $\mathcal{F}$
4. Morse on  $\Omega$

Once the navigation function is computed, robot trajectories are determined by following  $-\nabla f$  at non critical points or the steepest descent given by the Hessian at critical points. The trajectories hereby obtained reach the destination while avoiding obstacles.

80 Navigation functions have been extended to aircraft trajectory planning [27], taking into account  
 81 ATM considerations such as limits on speed and curvature, as aircraft can only fly at  $[-3\%, +6\%]$  of  
 82 their nominal speed [5].

83 Of present interest are harmonic navigation functions on a manifold of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  with non-overlapping  
 84 spherical boundaries. Such a domain is referred to as a sphere world. Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a sphere world as  
 85 described and  $z_d$  the destination point in the interior of  $\mathcal{D}$ .

Consider the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta\phi &= 0 \text{ on the interior of } \mathcal{D} \\ \phi(z) &= c \in \mathbb{R}_+^*, \quad z \in \partial\mathcal{D} \\ \phi(z_d) &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where

$$\Delta = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial y^2} \tag{2}$$

86 is the Laplacian operator in 2 dimensions. As stated in [24], the function  $\phi$  on  $L^2(\mathcal{D})$  defined by this  
 87 problem is a navigation function. Indeed, no interior point can be a local extrema so that  $\phi$  is polar.  $\phi$   
 88 is admissible by construction and is Morse on  $\mathcal{D}$

89 However, navigation functions work under the assumption that trajectories are deterministic.  
 90 The kind of trajectory obtained by this method is not robust against uncertainties, and thus  
 91 phenomenon such as wind may cause the separation norms to be violated. This prevents navigation  
 92 functions from being deployed in an operational context. The purpose of this work is to provide tools  
 93 for extending navigation functions to the case of aircraft trajectories under a wind uncertainty.

94 In the following sections, we will introduce the Green's function and a numerical method to  
 95 obtain it on sphere worlds, and its application to navigation function under a domain boundary  
 96 uncertainty.

## 97 2.2. Semi-analytical approximation of the Green's function for the Laplacian operator

### 98 2.2.1. Green's function

99 Let  $\Omega \in \mathbb{R}^2$  be a planar bounded domain with the boundary  $\partial\Omega = \bigcup_i \mathcal{C}_i$  such that  $\mathcal{C}_i$  be non  
 100 intersecting circles and  $\mathcal{C}_1$  be exterior to all other circles, as illustrated in Figure 1. The centers of  
 101 circles  $\mathcal{C}_i$  are noted  $c_i$  and the radii  $r_i$ . In this configuration,  $\partial\Omega$  is Lipschitz-continuous and  $\Omega$  is a  
 102 Lipschitz domain.

Let us consider the equation


$$-\Delta u = f \quad \text{on } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \tag{3}$$

103 where  $f \in L^2(\Omega)$  is a given function. If the domain boundary  $\partial\Omega$  is sufficiently smooth, then Equation  
 104 3 admits a unique solution  $u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ .

The Green's function, noted  $G : (x, y) \in \bar{\Omega} \times \Omega \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  is the solution of

$$-\Delta u = \delta_s \quad \text{on } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \tag{4}$$

where  $\delta_s$  is the Dirac distribution centered in  $s \in \Omega$ . Contrary to Equation 3, the right hand term in  
 Equation 4 is not  $L^2$ . However, the  $L^p$ -integrability of the Green's function for elliptical equations on



**Figure 1.** A sphere world bounded by an outer circle of center  $c_1$  and three non overlapping inner circles of centers  $c_2$ ,  $c_3$  and  $c_4$  respectively.

Lipschitz domains is given by [19]. Furthermore, as presented in [21], it follows from Equation 4 that the solution  $u$  to Equation 3 has the form

$$u(x) = \int_{\partial\Omega} u(y) \frac{\partial G}{\partial n}(x, y) \, dy \quad (5)$$

### 105 2.2.2. Green's function from conformal mappings

**Definition 2.** Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$  be a domain. A fundamental solution of the Laplace equation is a mapping  $G: \omega \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $z \mapsto G(z, z_0)$  is harmonic in  $\Omega - z_0$  for any  $z_0 \in \Omega$  and:

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} G(z, z_0) = +\infty$$

106 A representation theorem for such a  $G$  is given in [11]:

**Theorem 3.** Let  $G$  be a fundamental solution of Laplace equation in a domain  $\Omega$ . Then it exists a function  $h: \Omega \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , harmonic in its first argument, and a real constant  $\lambda > 0$  such that for any  $(z, z_0) \in \Omega \times \Omega, z \neq z_0$ :

$$G(z, z_0) = \lambda \log \frac{1}{|z - z_0|} + h(z, z_0)$$

107 For a given  $\lambda, h$ , hence  $G$ , is uniquely defined by its values at the boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . The special  
108 choice  $\lambda = 1/2\pi$  and vanishing boundary conditions yields the so-called Green's function of the  
109 Laplace equation. It can be obtained by solving for  $h$  the system:

$$\Delta_z h = \delta_{z_0} \quad (6)$$

$$h(z, z_0) = -\frac{1}{2\pi} \log \frac{1}{|z - z_0|}, \quad z \in \partial\Omega \quad (7)$$

**Theorem 4.** Let  $G$  be Green's function for the Laplace equation in the domain  $\Omega$ . The following relation holds in the distributional sense for any  $z_0 \in \Omega$ :

$$\Delta_z G(\cdot, z_0) = \delta_{z_0}$$

110 **Proof.** Using the standard operators  $\partial, \bar{\partial}$ , it comes:  $\Delta_z G(\cdot, z_0) = 4\bar{\partial}\partial G(\cdot, z_0)$ . For an arbitrary function  
111  $\phi$  holomorphic in  $\Omega$ , continuous on  $\partial\Omega$ :

$$d(\partial G(\cdot, z_0)\phi) = \bar{\partial}\partial G(\cdot, z_0)d\bar{z} \wedge dz + \partial G(\cdot, z_0)\bar{\partial}\phi d\bar{z} \wedge dz \quad (8)$$

$$= -\bar{\partial}\partial G(\cdot, z_0)dz \wedge d\bar{z} = -\frac{1}{4}\Delta G(\cdot, z_0)\phi \quad (9)$$

112 By Stokes theorem:

$$\int_{\Omega} \Delta G(z, z_0) \phi(z) dz \wedge d\bar{z} = -4 \int_{\partial\Omega} \partial G(z, z_0) \phi(z) dz \quad (10)$$

113 Since  $dx \wedge dy = -2idz \wedge d\bar{z}$ , the equation 10 yields:

$$\int_{\Omega} \Delta G(z, z_0) \phi(z) dx \wedge dy = \frac{2}{i} \int_{\partial\Omega} \partial G(z, z_0) \phi(z) dz \quad (11)$$

and finally using the relation:

$$\partial \log |z - z_0| = \frac{1}{2(z - z_0)}$$

the right hand side term equals:

$$\frac{1}{i2\pi} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\phi(z)}{z - z_0} dz = \phi(z_0)$$

114 □

115 Using the system defining  $h$  to obtain an approximate solution is not an easy task from  
116 a numerical point of view as it requires solving a Laplace equation that becomes increasingly  
117 ill-conditioned as  $z_0$  approaches the boundary of the domain. This is mainly due to the fact that  
118 the singularity lies within the domain of interest.

119 In order to transform this inconvenient geometry into a more convenient one, we choose a  
120 conformal mapping that eliminates the singularity at  $z_0$ .

Consider the following conformal mapping

$$T_{z_0} : z \mapsto \frac{1}{z - z_0} \quad (12)$$

Provided that  $z_0$  is interior to  $\Omega$ , the map of  $\Omega$  by  $T_{z_0}$  noted  $\Omega^T$ , as illustrated in Figure 2, is the unbounded region exterior to a set of non-overlapping circles of center  $c_j^T$  and of radii  $r_j^T$  defined by

$$c_j^T = \frac{\overline{c_j - z_0}}{|c_j - z_0|^2 - r_j^2} \quad (13)$$

$$r_j^T = \frac{r_j}{||c_j - z_0|^2 - r_j^2|} \quad (14)$$

Let  $H = G(\cdot, z_0) \circ T_{z_0} : z \mapsto G(T_{z_0}(z), z_0)$ . Given that  $T_{z_0}$  is a conformal mapping,  $H$  is the harmonic solution exterior to non-overlapping disks that vanishes on the disk boundaries and such that  $H(z) \sim \log |z|$  as  $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ . Furthermore, contrary to  $G(\cdot, z_0)$ , function  $H$  has no singularity on its domain. Function  $G(\cdot, z_0)$  can then be determined given the knowledge of function  $H$


$$G(\cdot, z_0) = H \circ T_{z_0}^{-1} \quad (15)$$

121 In the following subsection we present a method for approximating  $H$

122 2.2.3. Harmonic function exterior to non-overlapping disks

We seek a function  $H$  on domain  $\Omega^T$  as defined prior. We use the following Laurent expansion [17] for  $H$

$$H : z \mapsto \alpha + \sum_{j=1}^J \beta_j \log |z - c_j^T| + \Re \left( \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\gamma_{jk} - i\delta_{jk})(z - c_j^T)^{-k} \right) \quad (16)$$



**Figure 2.** Mapping of the sphere world  $\Omega$  by  $T_{z_0}$ .  $\Omega^T$  is the space exterior to three circles.

with

$$\sum_{j=1}^J \beta_j = 1 \quad (17)$$

123 and where  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta_j$ ,  $\gamma_{jk}$  and  $\delta_{jk}$  are scalars to be determined. This function is harmonic with  $H(z) \sim \log |z|$   
 124 as  $|z| \rightarrow \infty$  and vanishing values on the boundary of  $\Omega^T$ .

This series  $\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (\gamma_{jk} - i\delta_{jk})(z - c_j^T)^{-k}$  can be approximated by its  $N$  first terms. Thus we consider the approximation  $h$  of function  $H$

$$h : z \mapsto \alpha + \sum_{j=1}^J \beta_j \log |z - c_j^T| + \Re \left( \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} (\gamma_{jk} - i\delta_{jk})(z - c_j^T)^{-k} \right) \quad (18)$$

The Green's function  $G(\cdot, z_0)$  can then be approximated by the function  $g = h \circ T_{z_0}^{-1}$ . This function  $g$  has the additional benefit of being easily derived to any order as it is the composition of standard functions. Given Equation 18 the derivatives of  $h$  are

$$\begin{aligned} \forall z \in \bar{\Omega}^T, \quad \frac{\partial h}{\partial x}(z) &= \sum_{j=1}^J \beta_j \frac{x - x_j}{|z - c_j^T|^2} - \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \Re \left( k(\gamma_{jk} - i\delta_{jk})(z - c_j^T)^{-k-1} \right) \\ \frac{\partial h}{\partial y}(z) &= \sum_{j=1}^J \beta_j \frac{y - y_j}{|z - c_j^T|^2} + \sum_{j=1}^J \sum_{k=1}^{N-1} \Im \left( k(\gamma_{jk} - i\delta_{jk})(z - c_j^T)^{-k-1} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

125 The derivatives of  $g$  are thus obtained by combining Equations 19, 15 and 12. Once the coefficients for  
 126  $h$  are determined, these derivatives come for free, as no additional coefficients need to be computed.  
 127 This property is of interest to us for the purpose of the Hadamard variation covered in section 2.3 as  
 128 the normal derivatives on the domain boundary are required.

The values of coefficients of the expansion of  $h$  are obtained by a numerical method derived from that of [30], using collocation points equally spaced on the set of boundary circles, to approach the coefficients of 18 given a domain  $\Omega$ . A least squares method can be used to set the boundary conditions. To ensure that the vanishing condition on the boundary is satisfied, a number  $n$  of collocation points denoted by  $z_i$ ,  $i \in \llbracket 1, n \rrbracket$  are set on each circle. Values for the coefficients are

chosen by minimizing the sum of squares of  $h(z_i)$ . Finding the coefficients of  $h$  is then equivalent to solving the optimization problem

$$\begin{cases} \min \|AX\|^2 \\ \text{s.t. } v^T X = 1 \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

where  $X$  and  $v$  are two vectors of length  $(1 + J + 2NJ)$

$$X = (\alpha, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_J, \gamma_{11}, \delta_{11}, \dots, \delta_{JN}) \quad (21)$$

$$v = (0 \quad 1 \quad \dots \quad 1 \quad 0 \quad \dots \quad \dots \quad 0) \quad (22)$$

$\xleftarrow{J} \quad \xleftarrow{2NJ}$

129 and  $A$  is an  $n$  by  $(1 + J + 2NJ)$  matrix.

The problem set in Equation 20 can be turned into a set of linear equations by using Lagrange multipliers [18]. Given enough collocation points, this problem has a unique solution  $X$  as defined in Equation 21, from which we obtain the approximation  $h$  from Equation 18 of the harmonic function on  $\Omega^T$  and the approximation  $g$  of the Green's function, with function  $g$  defined for a fixed point  $z_0$  as

$$g = h \circ T_{z_0}^{-1} \quad (23)$$

130 **2.3. First order variation of the harmonic solution**

The purpose of this section is to present the main results relating the behavior of the solution of an elliptic problem with Dirichlet boundary conditions to the variation of its border. Let  $(\mathcal{M}, g)$  be a connected orientable smooth Riemannian manifold of dimension  $d$  and let  $\gamma_i, i = 1 \dots N$  be a finite set of disjoint embedded smooth submanifolds of dimension  $d - 1$  that partition  $\mathcal{M}$  into two disjoint components  $\mathcal{M}^+, \mathcal{M}^-$  that are manifolds of dimension  $d$  with common boundary  $\gamma = \cup_{i=1 \dots N} \gamma_i$ .  $\mathcal{M}^+$  is assumed to be relatively compact. Finally, let  $X$  be a smooth vector field on  $\mathcal{M}$  with flow  $\phi(t, x)$  that is transversal to  $\gamma$  (except perhaps at a finite number of points). The flow of  $X$  will be denoted by  $\phi: ] - \epsilon, \epsilon[ \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ , with as usual  $\partial_t \phi(0, x) = X(x)$ . For a fixed  $t$ ,  $\phi_t$  will denote the function  $\phi_t: x \mapsto \phi(t, x)$ . The flow  $\phi$  will model an admissible deformation of the manifold with boundary  $\mathcal{M}^+ \cup \gamma$ . Following [22], the deformed boundary at  $t \in ] - \epsilon, \epsilon[$  will be defined as  $\gamma_t = \phi(t, \gamma)$ . and the perturbed problem as:

$$\Delta u_t = 0 \text{ in } \phi(t, \mathcal{M}^+) \quad (24)$$

$$u_t|_{\gamma_t} = f_t \quad (25)$$

Since the primary goal is to obtain a formula for the Green's function variation, the problem stated in Equation 24 will be reformulated to accommodate a distribution in the right hand term of Equation 24. Likewise, boundary condition 25 will be simplified later to  $u_t|_{\gamma_t} = 0$ . The final problem in distributional form is then:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{M}_t^+} \Delta u_t v \omega &= T(v) \\ u|_{\gamma_t} &= f_t \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$



where  $\omega$  is the riemanian volume form and  $T$  is the right hand term distribution. Recalling that  $\Delta u_t = \operatorname{div} \nabla u_t$ , it comes:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{M}_t^+} \Delta u_t v \omega &= \int_{\mathcal{M}_t^+} v d(i_{\nabla u_t} \omega) \\ &= - \int_{\mathcal{M}_t^+} g(\nabla u_t, \nabla v) \omega + \int_{\gamma_t} v \nabla u_t|_N \sigma \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

where the subscript  $N$  denotes the component of the vector normal to  $\gamma$  and  $\sigma = i_N \omega$ , with  $N$  the normal vector to  $\gamma$ . Any test function  $v$  is the image by  $\phi_t^*$  of a test function  $\phi_t^{-1*} v$ . The perturbed problem 27 can thus be rewritten so as to involve only integrals on  $\mathcal{M}^+$ :

$$\begin{aligned} - \int_{\mathcal{M}^+} \phi_t^* g(\nabla u_t, \nabla v) \phi_t^* \omega + \int_{\gamma} \phi_t^* v \phi_t^* \nabla u_t|_N \phi_t^* \sigma &= \phi_t^* T(v) \\ \phi_t^* v &\in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{M}) \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Taking the derivative of the first integral in the left hand side of 28 with respect to  $t$  at  $t = 0$  yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{M}^+} X(g(\nabla u, \nabla v)) \omega + \int_{\mathcal{M}^+} g(\nabla \dot{u}, \nabla v) \omega + \int_{\mathcal{M}^+} g(\nabla u, \nabla v) \operatorname{div} X \omega \\ v \in \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{M}) \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

By Cartan's formula,  $\operatorname{div}(X)\omega = d(i_X \omega)$ , thus:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{M}^+} g(\nabla u, \nabla v) \operatorname{div} X \omega &= \int_{\mathcal{M}^+} d(g(\nabla u, \nabla v) i_X \omega) - \int_{\mathcal{M}^+} d(g(\nabla u, \nabla v)) \wedge i_X \omega \\ &= \int_{\gamma} g(\nabla u, \nabla v) X_N \sigma - \int_{\mathcal{M}^+} X(g(\nabla u, \nabla v)) \omega \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Putting it in 29, the derivative becomes:

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}^+} g(\nabla \dot{u}, \nabla v) \omega - \int_{\gamma} g(\nabla u, \nabla v) X_N \sigma \quad (31)$$

The derivative with respect to  $t$  at  $t = 0$  of the boundary term:

$$\int_{\gamma_t} v \nabla u_t|_N \sigma = \int_{\gamma} \phi_t^* v \nabla \phi_t^*|_N \phi_t^* \sigma$$

can be expressed as:

$$\int_{\gamma} v \nabla \dot{u}|_N - X(v \nabla u|_N) \sigma \quad (32)$$

with the boundary condition  $u|_{\gamma} = 0$ , the first term vanishes. However, it will be kept in the sequel to obtain a more general variation formula. Gathering things together yields:

$$- \int_{\mathcal{M}^+} g(\nabla \dot{u}, \nabla v) \omega + \int_{\gamma} g(\nabla u, \nabla v) X_N \sigma + \int_{\gamma} v \nabla \dot{u}|_N - \frac{\partial v}{\partial N} \nabla u|_N X_N \sigma \quad (33)$$

Considering the problem:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{M}^+} u \Delta \tilde{G}_x \omega &= \delta_x \\ \nabla v|_{\gamma} &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

and putting back its solution as  $v$  in 33 and using:

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}^+} u \Delta \omega = - \int_{\mathcal{M}^+} g(\nabla \dot{u}, \nabla v) \omega$$

gives for the derivative of the solution:

$$\dot{u} = \int_{\gamma} \tilde{G}_x \nabla \dot{u}|_N \sigma - \int_{\gamma} \frac{\partial \tilde{G}_x}{\partial N} \nabla u|_N X_N \sigma \quad (35)$$

Finally, if  $u$  is taken to be the green function of the original problem, the well known Hadamard formula is obtained [29]:

$$\delta G(x, y) = \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial G}{\partial n}(w, x) \frac{\partial G}{\partial n}(w, y) X_N \sigma \quad (36)$$

### 131 3. Results and Discussion

#### 132 3.1. Numerical validation of the Green's function approximation

133 In this section, we discuss the results obtained by implementing the above method and verify  
 134 that the resulting functions present certain expected properties. We also justify the decisions  
 135 regarding the choice of parameters for Equation 18 in the light of the relative error and the  
 136 computation time. We finally discuss the relevance of this method for the application at hand, namely  
 137 computing navigation functions.

138 Python 2.7 was used to implement the method described in section 2.2 on an office computer  
 139 with an Intel Core i7-4710MQ CPU , 2.50GHz, 8 cores.

#### 140 3.1.1. Convergence of the method

#### 141 Reconstruction of the harmonic solution for constant boundary conditions

Given the Green's function  $G$  on a domain  $\bar{\Omega} \times \Omega$ , the harmonic solution can be found for any Dirichlet boundary conditions from Equation 5. In particular, one important property of the Green function is that if the function  $u$  in Equation 5 is constant and equal to 1, then we find that for any  $x \in \Omega$


$$\int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial G}{\partial n}(x, y) dy = 1 \quad (37)$$

We build function  $g$  to estimate the Green's function as defined in Equation 23 on a domain featuring two inner circle boundaries of centers  $2i$  and  $-1 - 2i$  and of radii 1. and 0.5 respectively, and bounded by an outer circle of radius 5. centered at the origin. We then evaluate the quality of the estimation by comparing the integral of its normal derivative to 1 :


$$\varepsilon = \left| 1 - \int_{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial g}{\partial n}(x, y) dy \right| \quad (38)$$

142 The integral is computed using the *quad* function from the scipy Python library [12], and based on a  
 143 technique from the Fortran library QUADPACK.

144 In Figure 3 is a measure of the quality of the estimation from Equation 37, given by the logarithm  
 145 of  $\varepsilon$ , relative to the number of collocation points at a given point  $x = -2$  interior to the domain and  
 146 for a given number of terms in the Laurent expansion  $N = 2$ ,  $N = 5$  or  $N = 10$ . Overall, this value  
 147 follows a decreasing curve, thus the distance decreases as the number of collocation points increases.  
 148 There is a steep increase of the curve for values of  $n_c$  around  $2N$ .



**Figure 3.**  $\log \left| 1 - \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial g}{\partial n}(x, y) dy \right|$  with  $x = -2$  and a fixed  $N$  for values of  $n_c$  ranging from 2 to 64 in the configuration described in section 3.1.1. The x-axis is the number of collocation points  $n_c$ .



**Figure 4.** Domain on which an exact solution is computed. The outer boundary is the unit circle. The inner boundary is the circle of center  $c_2 = 0.4$  and of radius  $r_2 = 0.4$ . The Dirichlet boundary conditions used are such that the value is  $c_1 = 0$  on the inner boundary and  $r_1 = 1$  on the outer boundary

149 For higher fixed values of  $N$ , the curve starts at a higher point, and converges for higher values  
 150 of  $n_c$ , but in either case, the distance from  $\int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial g}{\partial n}(x, y) dy$  to 1 plateau around  $10^{-14}$  for  $n_c > 2N$ .  
 151 This indicates that the obtained values are constrained by round-off errors.

152 There is no improvement for  $n_c > 2N$ , since the problem is over-constrained, as can be seen in  
 153 the linear problem stated in Equation 20, where  $1 + J + 2(N - 1)J$  coefficients are to be determined  
 154 from  $Jn_c$  equations.

155 In the following section, we will further evaluate the quality of the approximation of the Green's  
 156 function derived from our method by integrating over the domain boundary to find the harmonic  
 157 solution for non-constant boundary conditions, similar to those of the foreseen application of our  
 158 method.

159 Reconstruction for non-constant boundary conditions

160 On certain sphere worlds, an analytical solution can be found to Equation 3. Here, we consider  
 161 the crown defined by the unit circle as an outer boundary, and the circle of center  $2/5$  and of radius  
 162  $2/5$  as an inner boundary as pictured in Figure 4.

For a domain bounded by two concentric circles, with constant Dirichlet conditions on each circle, the harmonic solution to this problem is a function of the form  $z \mapsto A + B \log z$  with constants  $A$  and  $B$  chosen to fit the boundary condition. A Möbius mapping [20] can be found that transforms this symmetrical problem into that described in Figure 4. From here, and given Dirichlet boundary conditions such that the value on the inner boundary be constant equal to scalar  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  and the value on the outer boundary be constant equal to  $b \in \mathbb{R}$ , then the harmonic potential on this domain is function

$$u_e : z \mapsto \frac{b-a}{\log 2} \log \left| \frac{2z-1}{z-2} \right| + b \quad (39)$$

We can thus compare the solution reconstructed with the Green's function as described in Equation 5, noted  $u_g$

$$\epsilon_1 = \|u_e - u_g\|_1 \approx \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n |u_e(z_i) - u_g(z_i)| \quad (40)$$

163 The  $z_i$  in this equation are placed on a fine enough Cartesian grid, such that the result has converged.

164 In Figure 5a, the logarithm of the distance between both solutions is presented. As the number of  
 165 terms used in the Laurent series increases, the difference  $\epsilon_1$  decreases for high numbers of collocation  
 166 points. As discussed previously, the error converges for values of  $n_c$  greater than  $2N$ . As such, as the  
 167 number of terms  $N$  in the Laurent expansion increases, the error  $\epsilon_1$  converges for larger numbers  $n_c$   
 168 of collocation points, but converges towards a lower value.

169 In Figure 5b,  $\log \epsilon_1$  is represented for the comparison between the analytical solution and that  
 170 obtained with the finite elements method using Lagrange elements of order 2. Here, we observe  
 171 that the error for the solution computed with the Green's function is comparable to that for the finite  
 172 elements method.

173 Although we compare the results obtained using the reconstruction from the Green's function  
 174 to those of the finite element method, it is to be noted that reconstructing the harmonic solution  
 175 from the Green's function is more time-consuming than solving the finite elements problem, as the  
 176 Green's function simultaneously gives the harmonic solution for all boundary conditions. As such,  
 177 our method is not appropriate for solving single navigation functions. However, in the context of  
 178 solving boundary variation problems, the hereby presented method computing the Green's function  
 179 is superior. We further illustrate this in the following paragraphs by exhibiting the time complexity.


### 180 3.1.2. Time complexity

181 In this section, we consider the execution time to compute the approximation of the Green's  
 182 function at one point, noted  $g$  in section 2.2. We normalize the resulting times relative to the execution  
 183 time in the test case where  $N = 2$  and  $n_c = 2$ . The results are presented in Figure 6 for different values  
 184 of  $N$  and of  $n_c$ .


185 As can be seen in Figure 6, the time required to compute the Green's function rapidly increases  
 186 as the number of terms in the Laurent expansion increases. However, as seen in sections 3.1.1 and  
 187 3.1.1, the solution rapidly converges and good results can be obtained with small values of  $N$ .

188 The order of magnitude for the base case in our configuration, with  $n_c = 2$  and  $N = 2$ , is a  
 189 millisecond. This relatively small amount of time must be put in perspective with the fact that the  
 190 approximation  $g$  of the Green's function may be computed hundreds of times for different points of  
 191 the domain in order to estimate the value of the harmonic solution in one point.

192 In the following section, we will present a practical example of our method, relevant to the  
 193 application at hand.




(a)  $\log \epsilon_1$  as a function of  $n_c$  for a fixed number of terms in the Laurent expansion  $N = 2$ ,  $N = 5$  or  $N = 10$



(b)  $\log \epsilon_1$  as a function of the number of mesh vertices for the finite element method with Lagrangian elements of order 2.

**Figure 5.**  $\log \epsilon_1$  with our method based on the Green's function compared to that obtained with the finite elements method



**Figure 6.** Normalized execution as a function of  $n_c$  for a fixed number of terms in the Laurent expansion  $N = 2$ ,  $N = 5$ , or  $N = 10$

### 194 3.1.3. Possible applications of the solution

#### 195 Green's function of sphere worlds

196 Having constructed a semi-analytical method for carrying out the computations of the Green's  
 197 function, we now include representative examples illustrating our construction on sphere worlds.

198 Figure 7 depicts examples of sphere worlds with one obstacle and the singularity placed at  
 199 different points in the domain. The resulting function vanishes except in the vicinity of the singularity.  
 200 In each of the Laurent expansion, ten terms are used. Thirty collocation points are set on the domain  
 201 boundaries at regular intervals.

202 Sphere worlds with more obstacles can be tackled with no additional difficulty.

#### 203 Computing navigation functions


204 In order to demonstrate the usability of our method in the context of aircraft trajectory planning,  
 205 we have carried out the computation of navigation functions with the Green's function and traced  
 206 some resulting trajectories. These are exhibited in Figure 8. The domain is defined with dimensions  
 207 similar to those found in operational contexts. The outer boundary, figuring the limit of the airspace  
 208 is 100NM in diameter ; the obstacle discs, representing the other aircraft are 5NM in diameter. The  
 209 destination is near the center of the domain.

210 The trajectories are plotted using a gradient descent method [7] with a constant step. We find  
 211 that the generated trajectories all converge towards the destination, while avoiding all obstacles with  
 212 a wide margin, as is illustrated in Figure 8.


### 213 3.2. Numerical validation of the Hadamard variation of the Green's function

214 In the present section, we will exhibit the results obtained with the aforementioned method for  
 215 variations of the domain boundary. We consider here a sphere world  $\Omega$  bounded by one destination  
 216 disc  $(c_0, r_0)$ , one obstacle disc  $(c_1, r_1)$  and an outer boundary disc  $(c_2, r_2)$ , such as the one presented  
 217 in Figure 7. We hereby study two manners of disturbing the domain boundary

- 218 • by varying the center of the obstacle disc,
- 219 • and by varying the radius of the obstacle disc.




(a) Singular point  $z_0 = 0.1$




(b) Singular point  $z_0 = -0.3 - 0.7i$

**Figure 7.** Approximation  $g$  of the Green's function on unit disc with obstacle of radius 0.1 centered at  $-0.2 - 0.5i$  and destination disc of radius 0.2 centered at  $0.5i$




(a) 6 obstacles



(b) 12 obstacles

**Figure 8.** Navigation functions with trajectories from starting points  $40 - 40i$ ,  $35 - 55i$ ,  $50 - 25i$ ,  $-80 - 40i$  and  $-80 + 50i$  represented.



**Figure 9.** Relative error of the variation of the Green's function deduced from the Hadamard variation for a perturbation of the radius of the inner disc (displacement component  $\vec{\delta}c$  is null). The x-axis is the logarithm of the inverse of the step by which the inner disc is modified.

The domain resulting from disturbing the domain boundary is denoted by  $\Omega^*$ . In any case, the form of  $\delta\rho$  as introduced in Equation 36 can be explicitly expressed for the entire domain border. Let  $\vec{\delta}c$  and  $\delta r$  be respectively the variation of the obstacle disc center and radius. Then the domain variation is

$$\delta\rho = \begin{cases} \vec{n}(w) \cdot \vec{\delta}c + \delta r & \text{on the obstacle} \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere} \end{cases} \quad (41)$$

220 where  $\vec{n}(w)$  is the normal vector to the domain boundary at point  $w \in \partial\Omega$ . For a pure translation of  
 221 the disc, the term  $\delta r$  is null. For a pure variation of the obstacle disc radius, the term  $\vec{\delta}c$  is null.

222 In practice, for determining aircraft trajectories, only the center of the obstacle disc is submitted  
 223 to uncertainty, since the radius obstacle disc is defined by the aircraft separation norms.

224 In the following paragraphs, we will consider the variation of the Green's function for small  
 225 variations of the obstacle radius.

We first introduce notations. Let  $G : \bar{\Omega} \times \Omega$  be the Green's function computed at each  $\eta \in \bar{\Omega}$  from Equation 23. Given the study of parameters in section 3.1, the values of  $N$  and  $n_c$  in computing the Green's function are set respectively to 10 and 30.  $\delta G$  denotes the variation of the Green's function as defined in Equation 36 and the Green's function estimated using the Hadamard variation is noted  $\tilde{G} = G + \delta G$ . The Green's function on the perturbed domain  $\Omega^*$  is noted  $G^* : \bar{\Omega}^* \times \Omega^*$  and is similarly computed at each  $\eta \in \bar{\Omega}^*$  from Equation 23. Finally,  $\delta G$ , the Hadamard variation of the Green's function is compared to  $G - G^*$ , the actual variation of the Green's function on the entire domain  $\bar{\Omega} \times \Omega$  using the relative error for the variation as a metric

$$\varepsilon_g = \left\| \frac{|(G^* - G) - \delta G|}{|G^* - G| + |\delta G|} \right\|_1 \quad (42)$$

226 where the norm  $\|\cdot\|_1$  is computed on  $\bar{\Omega} \times \Omega$  by discretizing the domain along a Cartesian grid as  
 227 was done for Equation 40. This error, which is expected to approach 0 for small values of  $\delta\rho$ , is given  
 228 in Figure 9 for perturbations of decreasing amplitudes, for a dilation of the radius of the inner disc.



#### 229 4. Conclusion

230 In this article, a method for effectively computing the navigation function when the domain  
231 boundary is uncertain was presented. It is a two-fold method, where section 2.2 aims at determining  
232 the Green's function for the Laplacian operator and section 2.3 uses the resulting Green's function  
233 for determining the Hadamard variation. This promising method can be extended to the case of  
234 stochastic partial differential equations for aircraft trajectory planning.

235 **Acknowledgments:** This work was funded by a grant from the French National Research Agency (ANR).

#### 236 Bibliography

- 237 1. James Vere Beck, Kevin David Cole, A Haji-Sheikh, and B Litkouhi. *Heat conduction using Green's functions*.  
238 Hemisphere Publishing Corporation London, 1992.
- 239 2. Silvia Bertoluzza, Astrid Decoene, Loïc Lacouture, and Sébastien Martin. Local error estimates of the finite  
240 element method for an elliptic problem with a dirac source term. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1505.03032*, 2015.
- 241 3. Darren Crowdy and Jonathan Marshall. Green's functions for laplace's equation in multiply connected  
242 domains. *IMA journal of applied mathematics*, 72(3):278–301, 2007.
- 243 4. DG Crowdy, EH Kropf, CC Green, and MMS Nasser. The schottky–klein prime function: a theoretical and  
244 computational tool for applications. *IMA Journal of Applied Mathematics*, 81(3):589–628, 2016.
- 245 5. Luis Delgado and Xavier Prats. Fuel consumption assessment for speed variation concepts during the cruise  
246 phase. 2009.
- 247 6. Dimos V Dimarogonas, Kostas J Kyriakopoulos, and Dimitris Theodorakatos. Totally distributed motion  
248 control of sphere world multi-agent systems using decentralized navigation functions. In *Robotics and*  
249 *Automation, 2006. ICRA 2006. Proceedings 2006 IEEE International Conference on*, pages 2430–2435. IEEE,  
250 2006.
- 251 7. Roger Fletcher. *Practical methods of optimization*. John Wiley & Sons, 2013.
- 252 8. Shuzhi Sam Ge and Yun J Cui. Dynamic motion planning for mobile robots using potential field method.  
253 *Autonomous robots*, 13(3):207–222, 2002.
- 254 9. Shlomi Hacoheh, Shraga Shoal, and Nir Shvalb. Applying probability navigation function in dynamic  
255 uncertain environments. *Robotics and Autonomous Systems*, 87:237–246, 2017.
- 256 10. Jacques Hadamard and Maurice Fréchet. *Leçons sur le calcul des variations*, volume 1. A. Hermann et fils  
257 Paris, 1910.
- 258 11. Peter Henrici. *Applied and computational complex analysis, Vol. 3*. John Wiley and Sons, 1993.
- 259 12. Eric Jones, Travis Oliphant, Pearu Peterson, et al. SciPy: Open source scientific tools for Python, 2001–.  
260 [Online; accessed <today>].
- 261 13. Kirk E Jordan, Gerard R Richter, and Ping Sheng. An efficient numerical evaluation of the green's function  
262 for the helmholtz operator on periodic structures. *Journal of Computational Physics*, 63(1):222–235, 1986.
- 263 14. Oussama Khatib. Real-time obstacle avoidance for manipulators and mobile robots. *The international journal*  
264 *of robotics research*, 5(1):90–98, 1986.
- 265 15. Dong Hun Kim, Hua Wang, and Seiichi Shin. Decentralized control of autonomous swarm systems  
266 using artificial potential functions: Analytical design guidelines. *Journal of Intelligent and Robotic Systems*,  
267 45(4):369–394, 2006.
- 268 16. Daniel E Koditschek and Elon Rimon. Robot navigation functions on manifolds with boundary. *Advances*  
269 *in applied mathematics*, 11(4):412–442, 1990.
- 270 17. Steven G Krantz. *Handbook of complex variables*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- 271 18. Joseph Louis Lagrange. *Mécanique analytique*, volume 1. Mallet-Bachelier, 1853.
- 272 19. Fumi-Yuki Maeda and Noriaki Suzuki. The integrability of superharmonic functions on lipschitz domains.  
273 *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, 21(3):270–278, 1989.
- 274 20. Tristan Needham. *Visual complex analysis*. Oxford University Press, 1998.
- 275 21. Rolf Nevanlinna, Heinrich Behnke, and Hans Grauert. *Analytic functions*, volume 3. Springer, 1970.
- 276 22. Jaak Peetre. On Hadamard's variational formula. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 36(3):335–346, jun 1980.

- 277 23. Clément Pêtrès, Miguel-Angel Romero-Ramirez, and Frédéric Plumet. Reactive path planning for  
278 autonomous sailboat. In *Advanced Robotics (ICAR), 2011 15th International Conference on*, pages 112–117.  
279 IEEE, 2011.
- 280 24. Elon Rimon and Daniel E Koditschek. Exact robot navigation using cost functions: the case of distinct  
281 spherical boundaries in  $e/\sup n$ . In *Robotics and Automation, 1988. Proceedings., 1988 IEEE International*  
282 *Conference on*, pages 1791–1796. IEEE, 1988.
- 283 25. Elon Rimon and Daniel E Koditschek. Exact robot navigation using artificial potential functions. *IEEE*  
284 *Transactions on robotics and automation*, 8(5):501–518, 1992.
- 285 26. Marcello Romano, Josep Virgili-Llop, and Richard II Zappulla. Near-optimal real-time spacecraft guidance  
286 and control using harmonic potential functions and a modified rrt. 2017.
- 287 27. Giannis P Roussos, Dimos V Dimarogonas, and Kostas J Kyriakopoulos. 3d navigation and collision  
288 avoidance for a non-holonomic vehicle. In *American Control Conference, 2008*, pages 3512–3517. IEEE, 2008.
- 289 28. MA Sadybekov, BT Torebek, and B Kh Turmetov. Representation of the green’s function of the exterior  
290 neumann problem for the laplace operator. *Siberian Mathematical Journal*, 58(1):153–158, 2017.
- 291 29. Takashi Suzuki and Takuya Tsuchiya. First and second hadamard variational formulae of the green function  
292 for general domain perturbations. *Journal of the Mathematical Society of Japan*, 68(4):1389–1419, 2016.
- 293 30. Lloyd N Trefethen. Ten digit algorithms. *Mitchell Lecture, June*, 2005.
- 294 31. Karim Zeghal. *Vers une théorie de la coordination d’actions. Application à la navigation aérienne*. PhD thesis,  
295 1994.

296 © 2018 by the authors. Submitted to *Math. Comput. Appl.* for possible open access publication under the terms  
297 and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>)