



HAL
open science

From Dual Connections to Almost Contact Structures

Emmanuel Gnandi, Stéphane Puechmorel

► **To cite this version:**

Emmanuel Gnandi, Stéphane Puechmorel. From Dual Connections to Almost Contact Structures. Mathematics, 2022, 10.3390/math10203822 . hal-03771986

HAL Id: hal-03771986

<https://hal-enac.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03771986>

Submitted on 21 Sep 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution| 4.0 International License

From Dual Connections to Almost Contact Structures

¹Emmanuel Gnandi, ² Stéphane Puechmorel

^{1, 2} ENAC, Université de Toulouse, kpanteemmanuel@gmail.com, ², ENAC, Université de Toulouse, stephane.puechmorel@enac.fr

* Corresponding author: stephane.puechmorel@enac.fr; Tel.: +33-5-62259503

Version September 7, 2022 submitted to Mathematics

Abstract: A dualistic structure on a smooth Riemannian manifold M is a triple (M, g, ∇) with g a Riemannian metric and ∇ an affine connection, generally assumed to be torsionless. From g and ∇ , the dual connection ∇^* can be defined and the triple (M, ∇, ∇^*) is called a statistical manifold, a basic object in information geometry. In this work, we give conditions based on this notion for a manifold to admit an almost contact structure and some related structures: almost contact metric, cosymplectic, and coKähler in the three-dimensional case.

Keywords: dual connections, auto-dual connections, torsionless dual connections, auto-dual torsionless connection (Levi-Civita connection), gauge equation of dual connections, almost cosymplectic structure, almost symplectic structure, symplectic structure, cosymplectic structure, almost contact structure, almost contact metric structure, coKähler structure.

1. Introduction

Finding characteristic obstructions to the existence of structures is a particularly important question arising in mathematics. In this work, we give conditions for an orientable manifold to admit an **almost contact structure (almost cosymplectic structure), almost contact metric structure, cosymplectic (symplectic mapping torus) structure**, using the notion of dual connections that was introduced in the context of information geometry [1,2]. We will also use information geometry to describe the relationships between the structures on an even dimensional manifold and the corresponding ones on an odd dimensional manifold. Going back to the original paper [3], given a differentiable manifold M of odd dimension $2n + 1$, an **almost contact structure** is defined by a triple (ϕ, ξ, η) with $\phi \in T_1^1(M)$, $\xi \in T(M)$, $\eta \in T^*(M)$ and such that:

$$\eta(\xi) = 1 \tag{1.1}$$

$$\phi^2 = -\text{Id} + \eta \otimes \xi \tag{1.2}$$

A manifold with an almost contact structure can also be defined equivalently as one whose structure group is reducible to $U(n) \times 1$.

From the above equation, one can easily deduce the next proposition:

Proposition 1.1.

$$\text{rank } \phi = 2n \tag{1.3}$$

$$\phi\xi = 0 \tag{1.4}$$

$$\eta\phi = 0 \tag{1.5}$$

The proof is elementary and relies only on basic linear algebra. In fact, if $p \in M$ and $X \in T_p M \neq 0$ is such that $\eta(X) = 0$, then $\phi^2(X) = -X$, and $X \notin \ker \phi$. From 1.2, $\eta(\phi^2(X)) = -\eta(X) + \eta(\xi)\eta(X) = 0$ and using the previous remark it implies $\eta(\phi(X)) = 0$. Since $\phi^2(\xi) = 0$, it comes at once that $\phi(\xi) = 0$. A Riemannian metric g on M is said to be adapted to the almost contact structure if it satisfies for all vector fields X, Y :

$$g(\phi(X), \phi(Y)) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y) \quad (1.6)$$

Using 1.4 and the above definition it comes:

$$\eta(X) = g(X, \xi) \quad (1.7)$$

and in turn:

$$g(\phi(X), \xi) = 0 \quad (1.8)$$

The endomorphism ϕ is skew-symmetric with respect to an adapted metric:

$$g(X, \phi(Y)) = -g(\phi(X), Y) \quad (1.9)$$

and thus gives rise to a canonical 2-form Ω :

$$\Omega(X, Y) = g(X, \phi(Y)) \quad (1.10)$$

15 When $\Omega = d\eta$, the **almost contact structure** is said to be a **contact metric structure**. Finally, if ξ is Killing,
16 then the structure is said to be K -contact. Any 3-dimensionnal oriented Riemannian manifold (M, g) admits an
17 **almost contact structure** with g as adapted metric [4]. For classification of almost contact metric structures (see
18 also[5]).

19 In 1969 M. Gromov [6] proved that, any **almost contact** open manifold M admits a contact structure. A similar
20 result is proved in case of closed oriented 3-dimensionnal manifold by Lutz [7] and Martinet [8], the case of
21 5-dimensional is proved by J. Etnyre [9] and the work of R. Casals, D.M. Pancholi and F. Presas [10]. In []
22 Matthew Strom Borman, Yakov Eliashberg et Emmy Murphy

23 Being an almost contact manifold is a purely topological condition. In dimension 5, it boils down to the vanishing
24 of the third integral Stiefel-Whitney class. In [11], this property is used to classify simply connected almost
25 contact manifolds. Recall that **almost cosymplectic manifold**(cf. [12],[13]) of dimension $2n + 1$ is a triple
26 (M, ω, η) such that the 2-form ω and the 1-form η satisfy $\omega^n \wedge \eta \neq 0$. In the language of G -structures, an **almost**
27 **cosymplectic structure** can be defined equivalently as an $1 \times Sp(n, R)$ -structure.

From [13], every almost cosymplectic structure on M induces an isomorphism of $C^\infty(M)$ -modules

$$b_{(\eta, \omega)} : \begin{cases} \mathcal{X}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^1(M) \\ X \mapsto i_X \omega + \eta(X)\eta \end{cases}$$

for every vector field $X \in \mathcal{X}(M)$. A vector bundle isomorphism (denoted with the same symbol) $b_{(\eta, \omega)} : TM \rightarrow T^*M$ is also induced. Then the vector field

$$\xi = b_{(\eta, \omega)}^{-1}(\eta)$$

on M is called the Reeb vector field of the almost cosymplectic manifold (M, η, ω) and is characterized by the following conditions

$$i_\xi \omega = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad i_\xi \eta = 1$$

28 Conversely, we have the following characterization of almost cosymplectic manifolds that follows from
29 [13][proposition 2]

Proposition 1.2. *Let M be a manifold endowed with a 1-form η and a 2-form ω such that the map $b_{(\eta,\omega)} : TM \rightarrow T^*M$ is an isomorphism. Assume also that there exists a vector field ξ such that $i_\xi \omega = 0$ and $\eta(\xi) = 1$. Then, M has odd dimension and (M, η, ω) is an almost cosymplectic manifold with Reeb vector field ξ .*

By a **cosymplectic manifold**, we mean a $(2n+1)$ -manifold M together with a closed 1-form η and a closed 2-form ω such that $\eta \wedge \omega^n$ is a volume form. This was P. Libermann's definition in 1959 [14], under the name of cosymplectic manifold. The pair (η, ω) is called a **cosymplectic structure** on M . In [15], Blair gives an equivalent definition of cosymplectic manifolds, which is more often referred to in the literature, see [16],[17],[18],[19],[20],[21],[22]. From Blair[15] an almost contact metric structure (θ, ξ, η, g) on an odd-dimensional smooth manifold M is cosymplectic if $d\eta = d\Omega = 0$, where Ω is the fundamental 2-form. **The cosymplectic manifolds** can be thought of as an odd-dimensional counterpart of symplectic manifolds. In fact, on any cosymplectic manifold (M, η, ω) the so-called horizontal distribution $\ker \eta$ is integrable to a symplectic foliation of codimension 1. On the other hand, one has the following result due to Manuel de Léon and Martin Saralegi:

Theorem 1.1 ([23]). *Let M be a manifold and ω, η two differential forms on M with degrees 2 and 1 respectively. Consider, on $Y = M \times \mathbb{R}$, the differential 2-form $\Omega = pr^* \omega + pr^* \eta \wedge dt$, where $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $pr : Y \rightarrow M$. Then: (M, η, ω) is a cosymplectic manifold if and only if (Y, Ω) is a symplectic manifold.*

The Darboux theorem admits an equivalent in cosymplectic structure.

Any cosymplectic manifold (M, η, ω) of dimension $2n + 1$ admits around any point local coordinates $(t, q^\alpha, p_\alpha), \alpha = 1, \dots, n$, such that:

$$\omega = \sum_{\alpha=1}^n dq^\alpha \wedge dp_\alpha, \quad \eta = dt, \quad \xi = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$$

In 2008, HONGJUN LI main theorem in [16] asserts that cosymplectic manifolds are equivalent to symplectic mapping tori. The main idea of Li's proof comes from the theorem of Tischler[24], which states that: A compact manifold admits a non-vanishing closed 1-form if and only if the manifold fibres over a circle. This assertion is also equivalent to: A compact manifold is a mapping torus if and only if it admits a non-vanishing closed 1-form. The codimension-one, co-orientable foliations defined by the kernel of nowhere-zero closed one form are termed unimodular foliations. In [25] for the codimension one co-orientable, the existence of an unimodular foliation is equivalent to a vanishing modular class.

Theorem 1.2 ([25]). *The first obstruction class(The modular class) $c_{\mathcal{F}}$ vanishes identically if and only if we can chose η the defining one-form of the foliation \mathcal{F} to be closed.*

In section 2, we briefly summarize results about the gauge equation for dual connections. There is no claim of originality here, only a reformulation of the previous results obtained by Pr. M. Boyom. In section 3, we discuss the relationship between skew-symmetric solutions of maximal rank of the gauge equation and the existence of almost cosymplectic structure, almost contact metric structure and cosymplectic structure(symplectic mapping torus). Finally, the case of dimension 3 coKähler manifolds is treated in the final part of the article.

2. Gauge transformations and parallelism

In this section, (M, g) is a smooth Riemannian manifold. As usual, for a vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$, $\Gamma(E)$ denotes the space of smooth sections. For any affine connection ∇ , its dual connection ∇^* is defined by the relation $(\nabla_Y^* X)^b = \nabla_Y X^b$, or equivalently as satisfying for any vector fields X, Y, Z in TM , the equation:

$$Z(g(X, Y)) = g(\nabla_Z X, Y) + g(X, \nabla_Z^* Y) \quad (2.1)$$

60 The equation 2.1 proves by symmetry that $\nabla^{**} = \nabla$.

61 On 1-forms, the duality relation becomes $(\nabla_X \omega)^\sharp = \nabla_X^* \omega^\sharp$, for any 1-form ω and vector field X .

The levi-civita connection ∇^{lc} is self-dual and for any connection ∇ without torsion:

$$\nabla = \nabla^{lc} - \frac{1}{2}D, \quad \nabla^* = \nabla^{lc} + \frac{1}{2}D \quad (2.2)$$

62 with $D = \nabla^* - \nabla$ a $(2, 1)$ -tensor (since the difference of two affine connections is a tensor).

The relationship between the curvatures of two dual connections is given by :

$$g(R^\nabla(X, Y)V, W) = -g(V, R^{\nabla^*}(X, Y)W).$$

A connection ∇ in TM is said to be metric if $\nabla g = 0$, i.e.:

$$X.(g(Y, Z)) = g(\nabla_X Y, Z) + g(Y, \nabla_X Z), \quad \text{for any vector fields } X, Y, Z.$$

Metric connections are not unique, but differ only by the torsion. As a consequence of $\nabla g = 0$ one has

$$g(R^\nabla(X, Y)V, W) = -g(V, R^\nabla(X, Y)W).$$

Proposition 2.1. *D is symmetric in its first two arguments. Furthermore, for any vector fields X, Y, Z:*

$$g(D(Z, X), Y) = g(X, D(Z, Y))$$

63 **Lemma 2.1.** *If ∇ is torsionless, then so is ∇^* .*

Proof. Only a sketch of the proof is given here. The starting point is the same as for establishing Koszul formula:

$$\begin{aligned} Y(g(X, Z)) &= g(\nabla_Y X, Z) + g(X, \nabla_Y^* Z) \\ Z(g(X, Y)) &= g(\nabla_Z X, Y) + g(X, \nabla_Z^* Y) \\ X(g(Y, Z)) &= g(\nabla_X Y, Z) + g(Y, \nabla_X^* Z) \end{aligned}$$

It comes:

$$\begin{aligned} Y(g(X, Z)) - Z(g(X, Y)) + X(g(Y, Z)) &= \\ -g((\nabla_X + \nabla_X^*)Z, Y) + g([Y, X], Z) - g([Z, X], Y) &+ \\ +g(X, \nabla_Y^* Z - \nabla_Z^* Y) \end{aligned}$$

since $\nabla + \nabla^* = 2\nabla^{lc}$, Koszul formula yields:

$$g(X, \nabla_Y^* Z - \nabla_Z^* Y) = g(X, [Y, Z])$$

64 and the lemma follows. \square

Proof. The first claim is a consequence of ∇ being torsionless and lemma: 2.1

$$D(X, Y) = \nabla_X^* Y - \nabla_X Y = \nabla_Y^* X + [X, Y] - \nabla_Y X - [X, Y] = D(Y, X)$$

For the second, the starting point is equation 2.1 rewritten with the expressions from equation 2.2:

$$Z(g(X, Y)) = g(\nabla_Z^{lc} X, Y) + g(X, \nabla_Z^{lc} Y) - \frac{1}{2}g(D(Z, X), Y) + \frac{1}{2}g(X, D(Z, Y))$$

Using the defining property of the Levi-Civita connection:

$$g(D(Z, X), Y) - g(X, D(Z, Y)) = 0$$

65 and the claim follows. \square

Proposition 2.2. *The tensor:*

$$T: (X, Y, Z) \mapsto g(D(Z, X), Y)$$

66 *is totally symmetric. Futhermore, $T(X, Y, Z) = (\nabla_Z g)(X, Y)$*

Proof. The symmetry comes from the one of D . For the second part of the proposition:

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_Z g)(X, Y) &= Z(g(X, Y)) - g(\nabla_Z X, Y) - g(X, \nabla_Z Y) \\ &= g(\nabla_Z^* X, Y) - g(\nabla_Z X, Y) \\ &= g(D(Z, X), Y). \end{aligned}$$

67 \square

Given a torsionless connection ∇ , a $(1, 1)$ -tensor θ is said to satisfy the gauge equation if for all vector fields X, Y :

$$\nabla_X^* \theta Y = \theta \nabla_X Y \quad (2.3)$$

Equivalently, using the tensor D :

$$\nabla \theta = -(D \otimes 1) \theta \quad (2.4)$$

$$\nabla^* \theta = -(1 \otimes D) \theta \quad (2.5)$$

$$\left(\nabla^{lc} + \frac{1}{2}(1 \otimes D + D \otimes 1) \right) \theta = 0 \quad (2.6)$$

with:

$$(D \otimes 1)(\theta)(X, Y) = D(X, \theta Y), \quad (1 \otimes D)(\theta)(X, Y) = \theta D(X, Y).$$

When $\nabla = \nabla^{lc}$, equation 2.6 yields: $\nabla^{lc} \theta = 0$. In this case, equation 2.6 indicates that local solutions exist provided the conditions of [26] are satisfied. In coordinates, the gauge equation becomes, with Einstein convention of summation on repeated indices:

$$\partial_k \theta_i^j = \Gamma_{ik}^b \theta_b^j - \Gamma_{ak}^j \theta_i^a - \theta_i^a D_{ak}^j \quad (2.7)$$

where the Γ_{ij}^k are the Christoffel symbols of ∇ . It is convenient to use an orthonormal frame (X_1, \dots, X_n) and its associated coframe $(\omega^1 = X_1^\flat, \dots, \omega^n = X_n^\flat)$ to represent the tensor D :

$$D_{ij}^k = \Gamma_{ij}^k + \Gamma_{ik}^j \quad (2.8)$$

where all the coefficients are expressed in the orthonormal frame/coframe, that is:

$$D = D_{ij}^k X_k \otimes \omega^i \otimes \omega^j$$

Definition 2.2. Let θ be a $(1, 1)$ -tensor. Its adjoint θ^* is defined, for all vector fields X, Y , by the relation:

$$g(\theta X, Y) = g(X, \theta^* Y)$$

⁶⁸ **Proposition 2.3.** If θ is a solution of the gauge equation for ∇ , then so is its adjoint θ^* .

Proof. For any vector fields X, Y, Z :

$$g((\nabla_Z^* \theta)X, Y) = g(\nabla_Z^*(\theta X), Y) - g(\theta \nabla_Z^* X, Y) \quad (2.9)$$

$$= Z(g(\theta X, Y)) - g(\theta X, \nabla_Z^* Y) - g(\theta \nabla_Z^* X, Y) \quad (2.10)$$

$$= Z(g(X, \theta^* Y)) - g(X, \theta^* \nabla_Z Y) - g(\nabla_Z^* X, \theta^* Y) \quad (2.11)$$

$$= g(X, \nabla_Z \theta^* Y) - g(X, \theta^* \nabla_Z Y) \quad (2.12)$$

Since θ satisfies the gauge equation, $\nabla_Z^* \theta = -\theta D(Z, \cdot)$, thus:

$$g((\nabla_Z^* \theta)X, Y) = -g(\theta D(Z, X), Y) = -g(D(Z, X), \theta^* Y) = -g(X, D(Z, \theta^* Y))$$

and so:

$$0 = g(X, D(Z, \theta^* Y)) + g(X, \nabla_Z \theta^* Y) - g(X, \theta^* \nabla_Z Y) \quad (2.13)$$

$$= g(X, \nabla_Z^* \theta^* Y) - g(X, \nabla_Z \theta^* Y) + g(X, \nabla_Z \theta^* Y) - g(X, \theta^* \nabla_Z Y) \quad (2.14)$$

$$= g(X, \nabla_Z^* \theta^* Y) - g(X, \theta^* \nabla_Z Y) \quad (2.15)$$

This equation implies in turn the required property:

$$\nabla_Z^* \theta^* Y = \theta^* \nabla_Z Y$$

⁶⁹ □

⁷⁰ **Remark 2.3.** This proposition generalizes theorem 10.3.2 in [27]. It implies that if a tensor is a solution of the gauge equation, so are its symmetric and skew-symmetric parts.
⁷¹

Proposition 2.4. Let θ be a skew-symmetric solution of the gauge equation. Let the tensor p_θ be defined for all vector fields X, Y by:

$$p_\theta(X, Y) = g(\theta X, Y)$$

Then p is ∇ parallel, or equivalently, for any vector fields X, Y, Z :

$$(\nabla_Z^* g)(\theta X, Y) = g((\nabla_Z \theta)X, Y)$$

Proof. For any vector fields X, Y, Z :

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_Z p_\theta)(X, Y) &= Z(p_\theta(X, Y)) - p_\theta(\nabla_Z X, Y) - p_\theta(X, \nabla_Z Y) \\ &= g(\nabla_Z^* \theta X, Y) + g(\theta X, \nabla_Z Y) - g(\theta \nabla_Z X, Y) - g(\theta X, \nabla_Z Y) \\ &= g((\nabla_Z^* \theta - \theta \nabla_Z)X, Y) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand:

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla_Z^* g)(\theta X, Y) &= Z(g(\theta X, Y)) - g(\nabla_Z^* \theta X, Y) - g(\theta X, \nabla_Z^* Y) \\ &= g(\nabla_Z \theta X, Y) - g(\nabla_Z^* \theta X, \nabla_Z Y) \\ &= -g(D(Z, \theta X), Y) \end{aligned}$$

and by the gauge equation:

$$-g(D(Z, \theta X), Y) = g((\nabla_Z \theta) X, Y)$$

72 proving the second assertion. \square

73 **Corollary 2.1.** *let θ be a solution of the gauge equation. Then the next two conditions are equivalent.*

74 1. $\nabla \theta = 0$

75 2. ∇ is metric connection, for the metric g .

Proof. By using the second assertion of Proposition 3.4, we have

$$(-\nabla_Z g)(\theta X, Y) = (\nabla_Z^* g)(\theta X, Y) = g((\nabla_Z \theta) X, Y)$$

76 The proposition is demonstrated. \square

77 **Remark 2.4.** *In the case of torsionless dual connections, ∇ is exactly the Levi-Civita connection of the metric g .*

78 **Corollary 2.2.** *Let θ be a solution of the gauge equation of dual torsionless connections. The tensor p_θ is closed*
79 *and ∇ -coclosed.*

Proof. For a torsionless connection ∇ and a k -form ω :

$$d\omega_\theta(X_0, \dots, X_k) = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i (\nabla_{X_i} \omega)(X_0, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, X_k)$$

Since $\nabla p_\theta = 0$, the previous formula applied to p_θ shows that $dp_\theta = 0$. From [28], the codifferential relative to ∇ acting on differential forms as follows:

$$\delta^\nabla \omega = -tr_g \nabla \omega$$

80 the previous formula applied to p_θ shows that $\delta^\nabla p_\theta = 0$, then p_θ is ∇ -coclosed. \square

81 3. From Dual Connections to Almost contact manifold

82 3.1. Gauge equation of dual connections .

83 **Theorem 3.1.** *The following assertions are equivalent:*

84 1. *M of dimension $2n + 1$ admits an almost cosymplectic structure(almost contact structure),*

85 2. *The gauge equation of dual connections on M admits a skew-symmetric solution θ such that $\text{rank } \theta = 2n$.*

Proof. Let's prove the necessary part (1) implies (2): Assume that M admits an almost contact structure (ω, η) , there exists a vector field ξ such that $i_\xi \omega = 0$ and $\eta(\xi) = 1$. For all $x \in M$, it exists an adapted frame $(X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n, \hat{X}_1, \dots, \hat{X}_n)$ of $T_x M$ such that

$$X_0 = \xi_x \quad \text{and} \quad (X_1, \dots, X_n, \hat{X}_1, \dots, \hat{X}_n) \quad \text{is a symplectic basis of} \quad H = \ker(\eta).$$

The adapted coframe $(\alpha^0 = X_0^b, \dots, \hat{\alpha}^n = \hat{X}_n^b)$ satisfy :

$$\omega_x = \alpha^1 \wedge \hat{\alpha}^1 + \dots + \alpha^n \wedge \hat{\alpha}^n \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_x = \alpha^0.$$

⁸⁶ Let $(Y_0, \dots, Y_n, \hat{Y}_1, \dots, \hat{Y}_n)$ and $(X_0, \dots, X_n, \hat{X}_1, \dots, \hat{X}_n)$ be two adapted frames at x . we have

$$Y_i = C_i^j X_j + D_i^j \hat{X}_j \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{Y}_i = -D_i^j X_j + C_i^j \hat{X}_j$$

⁸⁷ where $C, D \in Gl(n, \mathbb{R})$. Hence the two frames are related by the $(2n+1) \times (2n+1)$ matrix S:

$$\begin{pmatrix} C & D & 0 \\ -D & C & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

Since the structure group of M is reducible to $Sp(n, \mathbb{R}) \times 1$, one can find a adapted connection ∇ preserving ω, ξ :

$$\nabla \xi = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla \omega = 0.$$

From [15], to a almost cosymplectic structure (ω, η) there exists an almost contact metric structure (θ, ξ, η, g) on M with the same ξ and η , whose fundamental 2-form Ω coincides with ω . we define a metric g on M by

$$g(X, Y) = g_H(X, Y), \quad g(X, \xi) = 0, \quad g(\xi, \xi) = 1, \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(H).$$

The $(1, 1)$ -tensor $\theta : TM \longrightarrow TM$ is defined by:

$$\theta X = JX, \quad \theta \xi = 0 \quad \forall X \in \Gamma(H)$$

where $J^2 X = -Id_H$ where Id_H denotes the identity map on H and g_H is a metric on H such that

$$\Omega(X, Y) = g_H(JX, Y) \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(H).$$

We have

$$\omega(X, Y) = \Omega(X, Y) = g(\theta X, Y) \quad \text{and} \quad g(\theta X, Y) = -g(X, \theta Y)$$

We have

$$\nabla \omega = \nabla \Omega = 0$$

$$X.\Omega(Y, Z) - \Omega(\nabla_X Y, Z) - \Omega(Y, \nabla_X Z) = 0$$

$$X.g(\theta Y, Z) - g(\theta \nabla_X Y, Z) - g(\theta Y, \nabla_X Z) = 0$$

By duality between ∇, ∇^* , we have

$$g(\nabla_X^* \theta Y, Z) - g(\theta \nabla_X Y, Z) = 0$$

we deduce that

$$\nabla_X^* \theta Y = \theta \nabla_X Y \quad \text{and} \quad g(\theta X, Y) = -g(X, \theta Y)$$

So θ is skew-symmetric solution of the gauge equation such that $rank(\theta) = 2n$.
The sufficient part (2) implies (1):

Let θ be a skew-symmetric solution of the gauge equation. By assumption the rank of θ is $2n$, so 2-form p_θ has maximal rank, i.e. p_θ^n vanishes nowhere. Associated to p_θ is its 1-dimensional kernel distribution $\ker p_\theta$. Since M is orientable, by using the Hodge operator \star on M , we define a one form η_θ such that : $\eta_\theta = \star p_\theta^n$ and satisfy naturally $p_\theta^n \wedge \eta_\theta \neq 0$. The 2-form p_θ defines a line bundle $l_{p_\theta} = \cup_{p \in M} \{p, \ker p_\theta\}$. Let ξ_θ be the unique section of l_{p_θ} satisfying $i_{\xi_\theta} \eta_\theta = 1$. The one-form η_θ induces an hyperplane distribution by: $H^{\eta_\theta} = \ker \eta_\theta$ which is everywhere transverse to l_{p_θ} . We see that (p_θ, η_θ) determines a splitting

$$TM = (l_{p_\theta}, \xi_\theta) \oplus (H^{\eta_\theta}, \hat{p}_\theta)$$

88 of the tangent space of M into a framed line bundle and a almost-symplectic hyperplane-bundle $(H^{\eta_\theta}, \hat{p}_\theta)$, where
89 \hat{p}_θ is the restriction of p_θ to H^{η_θ} .

90 \square

91 **Corollary 3.1.** *In almost cosymplectic manifold (M, ω, η) , with M of dimension $2n + 1$, there are*
92 *always dual connections (∇, ∇^*) adapted to the distributions $\ker \omega$ and $\ker \eta$, that is $\nabla \Gamma^\infty(\ker \omega) \subset$*
93 *$\Gamma^\infty(\ker \omega)$ and $\nabla^* \Gamma^\infty(\ker \eta) \subset \Gamma^\infty(\ker \eta)$.*

Proof. Let (ω, η) be an almost cosymplectic structure on M . It exists ∇ such that:

$$\nabla \omega = 0, \quad \nabla \xi = 0.$$

(i) $\nabla \omega = 0$, let $Y \in \Gamma^\infty(\ker \omega)$. By using the identity

$$X.\omega(Y, Z) - \omega(\nabla_X Y, Z) - \omega(Y, \nabla_X Z) = 0.$$

we have

$$\nabla \Gamma^\infty(\ker \omega) \subset \Gamma^\infty(\ker \omega).$$

(ii) $\nabla \xi = 0$, By duality, we have:

$$(\nabla^* \eta)(X, Y) = g(\nabla_X \xi, Y).$$

So $\nabla^* \eta = 0$. By a simple calculation we have:

$$\nabla^* \Gamma^\infty(\ker \eta) \subset \Gamma^\infty(\ker \eta).$$

94 \square

95 **Corollary 3.2.** *Let M be a manifold of dimension $2n + 1$, Let put $W = M \times \mathbb{R}$. The following assertions are*
96 *equivalent:*

- 97 1. *The gauge equation of dual connections on M admits a skew-symmetric solution θ such that rank $\theta = 2n$,*
- 98 2. *M admits an almost cosymplectic structure(almost contact structure),*
- 99 3. *W admits an almost symplectic structure,*
- 100 4. *The gauge equation of dual connections on W admits a skew-symmetric solution θ such that rank $\theta = 2n + 2$.*

Proof. (1) \iff (2) is exactly the assertion of the previous theorem.

Let us proves that (2) \iff (3):

The necessary part “(2) \implies (3)”: Starting from a almost cosymplectic structure (ω, η) , from [15] there exist an

almost contact metric (θ, ξ, η, g) on M associated to the almost cosymplectic structure, from [29] we know that $W = M \times \mathbb{R}$ admits a almost complex structure J defined by:

$$J(X, f \frac{\partial}{\partial s}) = (\theta X - f\xi, \eta(X) \frac{\partial}{\partial s}).$$

we know that from [30] the existence on a manifold of almost complex structures is equivalent to almost symplectic structures.

The sufficient part “(2) \iff (3)” Let denote by $p : W = \mathbb{R} \times M \rightarrow M$ the canonical projection and by $l(a) = (0, a) : M \rightarrow W = \mathbb{R} \times M$ a fixed section. Let Ω is almost symplectic 2-form on W ie $(\Omega^{n+1} \neq 0)$, let s the coordinate in \mathbb{R} and $\frac{\partial}{\partial s}$ the corresponding coordinate vector field on \mathbb{R} , we define (η, ω) by

$$\omega = l^* \Omega, \quad \eta = l^* i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}} \Omega.$$

We claim that on $W = M \times \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\Omega = p^* \omega + p^* \eta \wedge ds.$$

101 Then from [23], we know that $\Omega^{n+1} = (n+1)p^*(\eta \wedge \omega^n) \wedge ds$. The 2-form Ω satisfies $\Omega^{n+1} \neq 0$ thus $\eta \wedge \omega^n$ is
102 volume form on M , and consequently the pair (η, ω) is almost cosymplectic structure on M .

Let us proves that (3) \iff (4) : The necessary part “(3) \implies (4)”. Let Ω an almost symplectic on W , from [31],[32], there exist almost-symplectic connections ∇ defined by

$$\nabla_X Y = \nabla_X^0 Y + A(X, Y)$$

Where ∇^0 is the linear connection on W , defined by:

$$\nabla_X^0 \Omega(Y, Z) = \Omega(A(X, Y), Z).$$

The almost-symplectic connections satisfy:

$$\nabla \Omega = 0$$

There exist a skew symmetric $\theta \in \Gamma(TW^* \otimes TW)$ and Riemannian on W such that the identity:

$$\Omega(X, Y) = g(\theta X, Y), \quad \theta^2 = -Id_{TW}$$

103 .

The identity

$$\nabla \Omega = 0$$

implies that :

$$X.\Omega(Y, Z) - \Omega(\nabla_X Y, Z) - \Omega(Y, \nabla_X Z) = 0$$

$$X.g(\theta Y, Z) - g(\theta \nabla_X Y, Z) - g(\theta Y, \nabla_X Z) = 0$$

$$g(\nabla_X^* \theta Y - \theta \nabla_X Y, Z) = 0$$

so we have

$$\nabla_X^* \theta Y = \theta \nabla_X Y \quad \text{and} \quad \text{rank}(\theta) = \text{rank}(\Omega) = 2n + 2.$$

104 The sufficient part “(3) \iff (4)

105 Let θ be a skew-symmetric solution of the gauge equation of dual connections (∇, ∇^*) on $W = M \times \mathbb{R}$ of
106 $\text{rank}(\theta) = 2n + 2$. The 2-form p_θ is non-degenerate on W , then p_θ is almost symplectic structure on W . \square

107 Proceeding the same way, we have the following corollary:

108 **Corollary 3.3.** *Let M be an even dimensional manifold of dimension $2n$, Let put $W = M \times \mathbb{R}$. The following*
 109 *assertions are equivalent:*

- 110 1. *The gauge equation of dual connections on M admits a skew-symmetric solution θ such that $\text{rank } \theta = 2n$,*
- 111 2. *M admits an almost symplectic structure(almost contact structure),*
- 112 3. *W admits an almost cosymplectic structure(almost contact structure),*
- 113 4. *The gauge equation of dual connections on W admits a skew-symmetric solution θ such that $\text{rank } \theta = 2n$.*

114 **Proposition 3.1.** *Let (θ, η, ξ) be an almost contact manifold. The following assertions are equivalent:*

- 115 1. $\nabla\theta = 0, \quad \nabla\xi = 0,$
- 116 2. $\nabla\theta = 0, \quad \nabla\eta = 0.$

Proof. Let (θ, η, ξ) an almost contact structure ie :

$$\theta \circ \theta + I = \eta \otimes \xi, \quad \lambda(\xi) = 1$$

By a simple calculations, we have

$$(\nabla_X\theta)(\theta Y) + \theta((\nabla_X\theta)Y) = \eta(Y)(\nabla_X\xi) + ((\nabla_X\eta)Y)\xi.$$

117 we deduce the equivalence. \square

118 **Proposition 3.2.** *Let (ω, η) be an almost cosymplectic manifold with associated almost contact metric structure*
 119 *(θ, η, ξ, g) . If $\nabla\omega = 0$, then the next assertions are equivalent:*

- 120 1. $\nabla\theta = 0,$
- 121 2. g is ∇ -paralell ie $(\nabla g = 0),$
- 122 3. $(\nabla_X\xi)^b = \nabla_X\eta$ or $\nabla_X\xi = (\nabla_X\eta)^\sharp.$

Proof. Let proves that (1) \iff (2):

Let us proves that (2) \implies (1):For any X, Y, Z , it comes:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_Z(\omega)(X, Y) &= Z(g(\theta X, Y)) - g(\theta\nabla_Z X, Y) - g(\theta X, \nabla_Z Y) \\ &= g(\nabla_Z\theta X, Y) + g(\theta X, \nabla_Z Y) - g(\theta\nabla_Z X, Y) - g(\theta X, \nabla_Z Y) \\ &= g(\nabla_Z\theta X, Y) - g(\theta\nabla_Z X, Y) \\ &= g((\nabla_Z\theta)X, Y) \end{aligned}$$

123 So we deduce the necessary part.

124 Let proves the sufficient part (1) \implies (2) Recall that from Proposition 3.4, $\nabla\omega = 0$ is equivalent to $\nabla_X^*\theta Y = \theta\nabla_X Y$,
 125 (1) implies $\nabla_X\theta Y = \nabla_X^*\theta Y$, we deduce that $\nabla = \nabla^*$, then $\nabla g = 0$. This proves the sufficient part.

126 Let proves that (1) \iff (3):

127 Let proves the sufficient part (1) \implies (3): Assume that $\nabla\theta = 0$, then $\nabla = \nabla^*$, by using the formula $(\nabla_Y^*X)^b =$
 128 $\nabla_Y X^b$ (resp, $(\nabla_X\omega)^\sharp = \nabla_X^*\omega^\sharp$), we deduce that $(\nabla_X\xi)^b = \nabla_X\eta$ (resp, $\nabla_X\xi = (\nabla_X\eta)^\sharp$).

129 Let proves the necessary part (3) \implies (1):By simple observations $(\nabla_X\xi)^b = \nabla_X\eta = (\nabla_X^*\xi)^b$, so $\nabla = \nabla^*$, then (1) is
 130 demonstrated. \square

131 3.2. Gauge equation of selfdual connections

When $\nabla = \nabla^*$, the gauge equation is equivalent to

$$(\nabla_X\theta)Y = 0 \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M).$$

132 **Theorem 3.2.** *The following assertions are equivalent:*

- 133 1. *M admits an almost contact metric structure,*
 134 2. *It exists a metric on M such that the gauge equation of self dual connections with respect to it admits a*
 135 *skew-symmetric solution θ such that $\text{rank } \theta = 2n$.*

Proof. This is essentially a corollary of theorem 3.1. Let proves that (1) implies (2).

Let (θ, ξ, η, g) -structure(almost contact metric structure) on M , from [29][Theorem 11],[33][Theorem 2], there exist an linear connection such that:

$$\nabla \xi = 0, \quad \nabla \theta = 0, \quad \nabla \eta = 0, \quad \nabla g = 0.$$

136 We deduce that θ is skew-symmetric solution of the selfdual connection ∇ and the $\text{rank}(\theta)=2n$.

137 Let proves that (2) implies (1).

138 Let θ be a skew-symmetric solution of the gauge equation of selfdual connections ∇ such that $\text{rank } \theta = 2n$. From
 139 3.1, M admits an almost cosymplectic structure. From [15], there exists an almost contact metric structure
 140 (θ, ξ, η, g) on M .

141 \square

142 **Corollary 3.4.** *Let M be a $2n + 1$ dimensional manifold, Let put $W = M \times \mathbb{R}$, the following assertions are*
 143 *equivalents:*

- 144 1. *The gauge equation of selfdual connections on M admits a skew-symmetric solution θ such that $\text{rank } \theta = 2n$,*
 145 2. *M admits an almost contact metric structure,*
 146 3. *$W = M \times \mathbb{R}$ has an almost Hermitian structure,*
 147 4. *The gauge equation of selfdual connections on W admits a skew-symmetric solution θ such that $\text{rank } \theta =$*
 148 *$2n + 2$.*

149 **Proof.** (1) \iff (2) is exactly the assertion of the previous theorem. Let us proves that (2) \iff (3) :

150 The necessary part “(2) \implies (3)” Let (θ, ξ, η, g) be a almost contact metric structure on M , from [15] the pair
 151 (J, h) where J is almost complex structure defined by: $J(X, f \frac{\partial}{\partial s}) = (\theta X - f\xi, \eta(X) \frac{\partial}{\partial s})$ and $h = g + dt^2$ is a
 152 product metric on W , we have $h(J(X, f \frac{\partial}{\partial t}), J(Y, f \frac{\partial}{\partial t})) = h((X, f \frac{\partial}{\partial t}), (Y, f \frac{\partial}{\partial t}))$, the pair (J, h) is an almost
 153 Hermitian structure in W .

The sufficient part “(2) \leftarrow (3)” Let (J, h) an almost Hermitian structure on W . The almost Hermitian form defined
 by $\Omega(X, Y) = h(JX, Y)$ is a non-degenerate 2-form on W . Let s the coordinate in \mathbb{R} and $\frac{\partial}{\partial s}$ its coordinate vector
 field on \mathbb{R} . We define (η, ω) by:

$$\omega = l^* \Omega, \quad \eta = l^* i_{\frac{\partial}{\partial s}} \Omega.$$

154 where the canonical projection and by $l(a) = (0, a) : M \rightarrow W = \mathbb{R} \times M$. The pair (ω, η) is an almost
 155 cosymplectic structure on M . From [15] there exists an almost contact metric structure (θ, ξ, η, g) on M .

(3) \iff (4) :The necessary part “(3) \implies (4)” Let (J, h) be an almost Hermitian structure on W . From
 [34][Theorem 15.1, corollary 1] almost Hermitian connections exist, namely, linear connections ∇ (Bismut
 connection, Chern connection) defined by:

$$\nabla = \nabla^h - \frac{1}{2} J \nabla^h J$$

satisfying:

$$\nabla J = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \nabla h = 0.$$

156 Then the gauge equation of selfdual connections on M admits a skew-symmetric solution J such that $\text{rank } J =$
157 $2n + 2$.

158 The sufficient part “(3) \Leftarrow (4)” Let θ be a skew-symmetric solution of the gauge equation of selfdual connections
159 ∇ on $W = M \times \mathbb{R}$ of the $\text{rank}(\theta) = 2n + 2$. The 2-form p_θ is non-degenerate on W . There exist on W an almost
160 Hermitian structure (J, h) such that $p_\theta(X, Y) = h(JX, Y)$. \square

161 3.3. Gauge equation of torsionless dual connections , modular class and cosymplectic manifold(symplectic 162 mapping torus)

163 **Theorem 3.3.** *The following assertions are equivalent:*

- 164 1. M admits an cosymplectic structure(symplectic mapping torus),
- 165 2. The gauge equation of dual torsionless connections admits a skew-symmetric solution θ such that
166 $\text{rank } \theta = 2n$ and the modular class of the image of θ vanishes.

Proof. Let us prove that (1) implies (2)

Assume that M admits a cosymplectic structure (ω, η) , with $d\eta = 0, d\omega = 0$, such that $\eta \wedge \omega^n \neq 0$ is a volume-form. From [15], it exists an almost contact metric structure (θ, ξ, η, g) on M , where ξ is the Reeb vector field defined by $i_\xi \omega = 0$ and $\eta(\xi) = 1$ and (θ, g) may be obtained by polarizing ω on the codimension one foliation $H = \ker(\eta)$. It satisfies the following identities:

$$\eta(\xi) = 1, \theta^2 = -\text{Id} + \eta \otimes \xi, g(\theta X, \theta Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y)$$

The fundamental 2-form Ω of the almost contact metric structure coincides with ω , so we have

$$\Omega(X, Y) = \omega(X, Y) = g(\theta X, Y).$$

The condition $\eta \wedge \omega^n \neq 0$ implies that the restriction of ω to the leaves of the codimension one foliation $H = \ker(\eta)$ is symplectic form. From [35] the connections ∇ define by

$$\nabla_X Y = \nabla_X^0 Y + \frac{1}{3}N(X, Y) + \frac{1}{3}N(Y, X) \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(H).$$

is symplectic connections on H , where ∇^0 is any torsionless linear connection H , define by:

$$\nabla_X^0 \omega(Y, Z) = \omega(N(X, Y), Z) \quad \forall X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(H)$$

According to the decomposition of the tangent bundle as:

$$TM = C^\infty(M)\xi \oplus H$$

where $\pi : TM \rightarrow H$ denote the corresponding projection. The symplectic connections ∇ admits a torsionless lift $\tilde{\nabla}$:

$$\nabla := \pi \tilde{\nabla}|_H \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\nabla} \xi = 0.$$

Please note that $\nabla \omega = 0$ implies :

$$\tilde{\nabla} \omega = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\nabla} \xi = 0.$$

We have, by using Blair's definition:

$$\omega(X, Y) = \Omega(X, Y) = g(\theta X, Y) \quad \text{and} \quad g(\theta X, Y) = -g(X, \theta Y)$$

It comes:

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\nabla}\omega &= \tilde{\nabla}\Omega = 0 \\ X.\Omega(Y, Z) - \Omega(\tilde{\nabla}_X Y, Z) - \Omega(Y, \tilde{\nabla}_X Z) &= 0 \\ X.g(\theta Y, Z) - g(\theta\tilde{\nabla}_X Y, Z) - g(\theta Y, \tilde{\nabla}_X Z) &= 0 \\ g(\tilde{\nabla}_X^* \theta Y, Z) - g(\theta\tilde{\nabla}_X Y, Z) &= 0\end{aligned}$$

we deduce that

$$\tilde{\nabla}_X^* \theta Y = \theta\tilde{\nabla}_X Y \quad \text{and} \quad g(\theta X, Y) = -g(X, \theta Y)$$

So θ is skew-symmetric solution of the gauge equation of torsionless dual connections $(\tilde{\nabla}, \tilde{\nabla}^*)$ such that $\text{rank}(\theta) = 2n$.

By a simple observations, we have:

$$\ker(\theta) = \ker(\omega).$$

We deduce that

$$\text{im}(\theta) = \ker(\omega)^\perp = \ker(\eta).$$

Then from [25], the modular class of the image of θ vanishes.

Let now proves that (2) implies (1).

Let θ be a skew-symmetric solution of the gauge equation of torsionless dual connections (∇, ∇^*) . From corollary 2.2, p_θ is ∇ -parallel, therefore it is closed. By assumption the rank of θ is $2n$, so 2-form p_θ has maximal rank, i.e. such that p_θ^n vanishes nowhere. We associate to p_θ a one-dimensional foliation $\ker p_\theta = \ker(\theta)$. From 2.4 p_θ is ∇ -parallel, so the foliation $\ker p_\theta$ is ∇ -parallel i.e. $(\nabla\Gamma(\ker p_\theta) \subset \Gamma(\ker p_\theta))$. By using the duality of (∇, ∇^*) :

$$X.g(v, v^\perp) = g(\nabla_X v, v^\perp) + g(v, \nabla_X^* v^\perp)$$

167 we deduce that $\text{im}(\theta)$ is ∇^* -parallel i.e. $\nabla^*\Gamma(\text{im}\theta) \subset \Gamma(\text{im}\theta)$. By using the orientation on M together with p_θ^n ,
168 we orient $\ker p_\theta$. So $\text{im}(\theta)$ is transversally codimension one foliation. By assumption the modular class of the
169 image of θ vanishes, from [25] there exist a closed one form η_θ on M such that $\text{im}(\theta) = \ker \eta_\theta$. We deduce that
170 (p_θ, η_θ) is cosymplectic structure on M . \square

171 Proceeding the same way as corollary 3.1, we have

Corollary 3.5. *In cosymplectic manifold (M^{2n+1}, ω, η) , there are always dual connections torsionless (∇, ∇^*) adapted to the distributions $\ker(\omega)$ and $\ker(\eta)$. By adapted we means that*

$$\nabla(\Gamma^\infty \ker(\omega)) \subset \Gamma^\infty \ker(\omega) \quad , \quad \nabla^*(\Gamma^\infty \ker(\eta)) \subset \Gamma^\infty \ker(\eta).$$

172 Using the same technique as in the proof of theorem 3.3, it comes:

173 **Corollary 3.6.** *Let M^{2n+1} be an odd dimensional manifold, Let put $W = M^{2n+1} \times \mathbb{S}^1$, the following assertions*
174 *are equivalents:*

- 175 1. *The gauge equation of dual torsionless connections on M^{2n+1} admits a skew-symmetric solution θ such*
176 *that $\text{rank} \theta = 2n$ and the modular class of image of θ vanishes,*
- 177 2. *M^{2n+1} admits a cosymplectic structure,*
- 178 3. *W admits an symplectic structure,*
- 179 4. *The gauge equation of dual torsionless connections on W admits a skew-symmetric solution θ such that*
180 *$\text{rank} \theta = 2n + 2$.*

181 **Corollary 3.7.** Let M^{2n} be an even-dimensional manifold, let put $W = M^{2n} \times \mathbb{S}^1$, the following assertions are
182 *equivalents:*

- 183 1. The gauge equation of dual torsion-less connections on M^{2n} admits a skew-symmetric solution θ such that
184 $\text{rank } \theta = 2n$.
- 185 2. M^{2n} admits a symplectic structure.
- 186 3. W admits an cosymplectic structure
- 187 4. The gauge equation of dual torsionless connections on W admits a skew-symmetric solution θ such that
188 $\text{rank } \theta = 2n$ and the modular class of image of θ vanishes.

189 **Proof.** (1) \iff (2) is exactly the same intuition as (3) \iff (4) of the previous corollary (4.4). (3) \iff (4) is
190 the same intuition as (1) \iff (2) of the previous corollary (4.4). Let us proves that (2) \iff (3):“

191 The necessary part (2) \implies (3)” Let (M^{2n}, Ω) be a symplectic manifold, consider the symplectic mapping
192 torus $W = M_{\varphi}^{2n} = \frac{M^{2n} \times [0,1]}{(m,0) \sim (\varphi m, 1)}$, where φ is a symplectic diffeomorphism. From [16] W admits an cosymplectic
193 structure. Let take $\varphi = Id$ then $W = M^{2n} \times \mathbb{S}^1 = \frac{M^{2n} \times [0,1]}{(m,0) \sim (m,1)}$ admits a cosymplectic structure.

194 The sufficient part “(2) \Leftarrow (3)

195 Let (Ω, η) a cosymplectic on $W = M^{2n} \times \mathbb{S}^1$, let consider fibre bundle $M^{2n} \rightarrow W = M_{Id}^{2n} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$, consider the
196 map $l : M^{2n} \rightarrow W$, the 2-form define by $\omega = l^* \Omega$ is symplectic structure on M^{2n} . \square

197 **3.4. Gauge equation of torsionless selfdual connection(Levi-Civita connection) and existence of CoKhaler**
198 **structure in three dimensional manifold.**

199 **3.4.1. Gauge equation selfdual torsionless connection(∇^{lc})**

200 **Proposition 3.3.** The 2-form p_{θ} is harmonic, i.e. $\Delta^{lc} p_{\theta} = 0$.

Proof. For a torsionless connection ∇ and a k -form ω :

$$d\omega(X_0, \dots, X_k) = \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i (\nabla_{X_i} \omega)(X_0, \dots, \hat{X}_i, \dots, X_k)$$

Since $\nabla p_{\theta} = 0$, the previous formula applied to p_{θ} shows that $dp_{\theta} = 0$. Let θ be a skew-symmetric solution
gauge equation 2-form $p_{\theta} : (X, Y) \mapsto p_{\theta}(X, Y) = g(\theta X, Y)$.

$$\delta^{lc} p_{\theta}(Y_1, \dots, Y_{r-1}) = - \sum_{i=0}^{2n} (\nabla_{E_i} p_{\theta})(E_i, Y_1, \dots, Y_{r-1})$$

$$\Delta^{lc} p_{\theta} = d(\delta^{lc} p_{\theta}) + \delta^{lc}(dp_{\theta}) = 0.$$

201 \square

202 **3.4.2. Gauge equation solution and pseudo-Kahler structure.**

203 The pseudo-Kahler manifold were introduced by André Lichnerowicz in [36].

204 **Definition 3.4.** An $2n$ -dimension manifold (M, g, Ω) is **pseudo-Kahler**, when we can define on it a Riemannian
205 metric and a quadratic form Ω of rank $2n$ with zero covariant derivative in this metric.

206 **Proposition 3.4.** Let M be a $2n$ dimensional manifold. The following assertions are equivalent:

- 207 1. M admits a pseudo-Kahler structure,

208 2. It exists a metric g such that the gauge equation of selfdual torsionless connection on M admits a
209 skew-symmetric solution θ such that $\text{rank } \theta = 2n$.

Proof. Let us prove (1) \implies (2): Assume that M admits a pseudo-Kähler structure (Ω, g) , from the Definition 4.7, we have $\nabla^{lc}\Omega = 0$ and $\Omega^n \neq 0$. There exist a skew-symmetric θ of rank $2n$, such that:

$$\Omega(X, Y) = g(\theta X, Y) \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M).$$

From the identity

$$\nabla^{lc}\Omega = g(\nabla_Z^{lc}\theta X, Y) - g(\theta \nabla_Z^{lc}X, Y)$$

210 The condition $\nabla^{lc}\Omega = 0$ implies that $\nabla^{lc}\theta = 0$.

211 (2) \implies (1): Let g be a metric on M and by ∇^{lc} his levi-Civita connection. Let θ the skew-symmetric solution of the
212 linear equation $\nabla^{lc}\theta = 0$ such that the rank of θ is $2n$. From proposition 4.3, we have $\nabla^{lc}p_\theta = 0$ and $p_\theta^n \neq 0$.

213 We deduce that (g, p_θ) is pseudo-kähler structure on M .

214 \square

215 3.5. Gauge equation solution and curvature

For a fixed $p \in M$, the Riemannian metric g admits an orthonormal basis X_1, \dots, X_n in T_pM . With respect to it, θ is represented by a skew-symmetric matrix Θ with entries $\Theta_{ij} = g(\theta X_j, X_i)$. It is well-known from elementary linear algebra that it exists a basis $Z_1, \dots, Z_{2m}, Z_{2m+1}, \dots, Z_n$ and real numbers $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ such that:

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta Z_{2k-1} &= \lambda_k Z_{2k}, \quad \Theta Z_{2k} = -\lambda_k Z_{2k-1}, \quad k = 1 \dots m \\ \Theta Z_{2m+k} &= 0, \quad k = 1, \dots, n - 2m \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, the basis Z_1, \dots, Z_n can be chosen to be orthonormal. This is due to the fact that in any case: $\Theta^2 Z_i = -\lambda_{k(i)}^2 Z_i$, where λ is 0 if $i > 2m$ and $k(i) = \lfloor (i+1)/2 \rfloor$ otherwise. It thus comes:

$$g(\theta^2 Z_i, Z_j) = -\lambda_k(i)^2 g(Z_i, Z_j) = g(Z_i, \theta^2 Z_j) = -\lambda_k(j) g(Z_i, Z_j)$$

if $\lambda_{k(i)} \neq \lambda_{k(j)}$, then $g(Z_i, Z_j) = 0$. Otherwise, Z_i, Z_j belong to the same linear subspace of T_pM and can thus be orthonormalized. In the $Z_i, i = 1 \dots n$ basis, the matrix Θ is block-diagonal, with m blocks of the form:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & -\lambda \\ \lambda & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

216 and the remaining entries all zero.

Remark 3.5. As a complex matrix, Θ is diagonal in the base

$$X_{2k-1} - iX_{2k}, X_{2k-1} + iX_{2k}, k = 1 \dots m, Z_{2m+k}, k = 1 \dots m - 2N$$

217 with respective eigenvalues $i\lambda_k, -i\lambda_k, 0$.

218 **Proposition 3.5.** For any $U, V \in T_pM$, the curvature tensor $R(U, V)$ is block diagonal in the basis $Z_i = 1 \dots n$.

219 **Proof.** Let $U, V \in T_pM$ be fixed. In the basis X_1, \dots, X_n , $R(U, V)$ is represented by an skew symmetric matrix,
220 still denoted by $R(U, V)$. Since $\nabla_X^{lc} \circ \theta = \theta \circ \nabla_X^{lc}$, $R(U, V)$ and Θ commute, and since they are both diagonalizable
221 (as complex matrices), they must have the same eigenspaces. \square

222 **Remark 3.6.** *The proposition 3.5 also shows that any gauge transformation θ' satisfying $\nabla_X^{lc} \circ \theta' = \theta' \circ \nabla_X^{lc}$*
 223 *commutes with $R(U, V)$, and so is block diagonal in the base Z_1, \dots, Z_n . It must thus commute with θ .*

Proposition 3.6. *The curvature tensor R is such that:*

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} R(Z_{2k}, Z_{2k-1})Z_{2j} = -\mu_{kj}Z_{2j-1} \\ R(Z_{2k-1}, Z_{2k})Z_{2j} = \mu_{kj}Z_{2j-1} \\ R(Z_{2k}, Z_{2k-1})Z_{2j-1} = \mu_{kj}Z_{2j} \\ R(Z_{2k-1}, Z_{2k})Z_{2j-1} = -\mu_{kj}Z_{2j} \\ 0 \text{ otherwise.} \end{array} \right.$$

Proof. Let us first recall that for any X, Y, U, V :

$$g(R(U, V)X, Y) = g(R(X, Y)U, V)$$

Then, using the expression of R in the basis $Z_i, i = 1 \dots n$, it comes that only the terms:

$$R(Z_{2k}, Z_{2k-1}) = -R(Z_{2k-1}, Z_{2k})$$

224 can be non-zero. The claim follows by using the block diagonal expression of R . \square

Remark 3.7. *A direct computation shows that the Ricci tensor is diagonal in the basis $Z_i, i = 1 \dots n$ and:*

$$\text{Ric}(Z_{2k}, Z_{2k}) = \text{Ric}(Z_{2k-1}, Z_{2k-1}) = \mu_{kk}.$$

225 3.6. Gauge equation solution and K-cosymplectic Structures

226 **Definition 3.8.** [17] *A $2n + 1$ -dimensional manifold M is **K-cosymplectic** if it is endowed with a cosymplectic*
 227 *such that the Reeb vector field is Killing respect to some Riemannian metric on M .*

228 **Remark 3.9.** *By using Blair definition of cosymplectic manifold, Giovanni Bazzoni and Oliver Goertsches in*
 229 *[17] proves that the previous definition is equivalent to cosymplectic structure (θ, ξ, η, g) such that the Reeb*
 230 *vector field ξ is Killing.*

231 **Proposition 3.7.** *In a $2n + 1$ -dimensional oriented Riemannian manifold (M, g) , if the gauge equation of*
 232 *selfdual torsionless(Levi-Civita connections) admits a skew-symmetric solution of rank $2n$. Then M admits a*
 233 *K-cosymplectic structure.*

234 **Proof.** Let θ be a skew-symmetric solution of the gauge equation. By assumption the rank of θ is $2n$, so
 235 2-form p_θ has maximal rank, i.e. such that p_θ^n vanishes nowhere. The gauge equation ($\nabla^{lc} \theta = 0$) implies that
 236 $\nabla^{lc} p_\theta = 0$ ($dp_\theta = 0$). The distribution $\ker p_\theta$ is ∇^{lc} -paralell, then associated to p_θ is its 1-dimensional kernel
 237 distribution(foliation) $\ker p_\theta$. By using the orientation on M together with p_θ^n , we orient $\ker p_\theta$. Let $\hat{\xi}_\theta$ be a unit
 238 norm section in $\ker p_\theta$. Denote by H the mean curvature vector of the foliation $\ker p_\theta$

$$H = (\nabla_{\hat{\xi}_\theta}^{lc} \hat{\xi}_\theta)|_{\ker p_\theta^\perp}$$

and η_θ be the volume form of $\ker p_\theta$:

$$\eta_\theta(X) = g(X, \hat{\xi}_\theta) \quad \forall X \in X(M)$$

We have by simple calculation

$$d\eta_\theta(\hat{\xi}_\theta, X) = \hat{\xi}_\theta \cdot \langle \hat{\xi}_\theta, X \rangle - X \cdot |\hat{\xi}_\theta|^2 - \langle \hat{\xi}_\theta, [\hat{\xi}_\theta, X] \rangle$$

$$d\eta_\theta(\hat{\xi}_\theta, X) = \langle \nabla^{\text{lc}}_{\hat{\xi}_\theta} \hat{\xi}_\theta, X \rangle - \frac{1}{2} X \cdot |\hat{\xi}_\theta|^2 = \langle H, X \rangle$$

239 The 1-dimensional foliation $\ker p_\theta$ is minimal foliation, then

$$d\eta_\theta(\hat{\xi}_\theta, X) = 0 \quad \forall X \in \mathcal{X}(M). \quad (3.1)$$

The distribution $\ker \eta_\theta$ is ∇^{lc} -paralell, then $\ker \eta_\theta$ is codimension one co-orientable foliation, by using the integrability condition:

$$\eta_\theta([X, Y]) = 0 \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(\ker \eta_\theta)$$

we deduce that

$$d\eta_\theta(X, Y) = 0 \quad \forall X, Y \in \Gamma(\ker \eta_\theta) \quad (3.2)$$

From (4.5) and (4.6) we deduce that

$$d\eta_\theta = 0$$

240 Then (p_θ, η_θ) is cosymplectic structure on M and $\hat{\xi}_\theta$ his Reeb vector field.

(i)

$$\nabla^{\text{lc}}_{\hat{\xi}_\theta} \hat{\xi}_\theta = 0.$$

241 The flows lines of $\hat{\xi}_\theta$ are geodesible flow.

(ii) By calculations

$$(L_{\hat{\xi}_\theta} g)(X, Y) = g(\nabla_X^{\text{lc}} \hat{\xi}_\theta, Y) + g(X, \nabla_Y^{\text{lc}} \hat{\xi}_\theta) = 0 \quad \forall X, Y \in \ker \eta_\theta.$$

242 Then $\hat{\xi}_\theta$ is Riemannian flow.

243 From [37](proposition 10.10), (i) and (ii) implies that Reeb vector field $\hat{\xi}_\theta$ is Killing vector field ie($L_{\hat{\xi}_\theta} g = 0$).

244 \square

245 **Corollary 3.8.** *Let M be a pseudo-Kahler manifold in sense of Lichnerowicz, the manifold $W = M \times \mathbb{S}^1$ admits*
246 *K -cosymplectic structures.*

Proof. W is a fiber bundle over \mathbb{S}^1 , let $\pi : W \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^1$ denote the natural projection on \mathbb{S}^1 . Let $d\alpha$ be the angular form on \mathbb{S}^1 and $\frac{d}{d\alpha}$ its dual vector field on. It satisfies $d\alpha(\frac{d}{d\alpha}) = 1$, and so induces naturally on W a non-vanishing closed 1-form $\eta_\alpha = \pi^*(d\alpha)$ and a non-vanishing vector field ξ_α such that:

$$\eta_\alpha(\xi_\alpha) = d\alpha\left(\frac{d}{d\alpha}\right) = 1.$$

By assumption M admit a pseudo-Kahler structure (g, Ω_θ) , then on M we have :

$$\nabla \Omega_\theta = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Omega_\theta^n \neq 0.$$

Let $p : W \rightarrow M$ denote the natural projection. Let denote by $\bar{\Omega}_\theta$ the closed 2-form defined by:

$$\bar{\Omega}_\theta = p^* \Omega_\theta.$$

We have

$$\bar{\Omega}_\theta^n \wedge \eta_\alpha \neq 0 \quad \text{on} \quad W.$$

$\ker \bar{\Omega}_{\theta_p}$ is one dimensional for all $p \in W$ and $\bar{\Omega}_{\theta}$ determines a line bundle by:

$$l_{\bar{\Omega}_{\theta}} = \cup_{p \in W} (p, \ker \bar{\Omega}_{\theta_p})$$

$\ker(\eta_{\alpha})$ is a hyperplane distribution transverse to $l_{\bar{\Omega}_{\theta}}$ and hence $\bar{\Omega}_{\theta}$ restricts to a nondegenerate form on $\ker(\eta_{\alpha})$. Let ξ_{α} to be the unique section of $l_{\bar{\Omega}_{\theta}}$ satisfying $\eta_{\alpha}(\xi_{\alpha}) = 1$. We see that $\bar{\Omega}_{\theta}^n \wedge \eta_{\alpha} \neq 0$, so the tangent bundle TM splits as the direct sum of a line bundle with a preferred nowhere vanishing section, and a symplectic vector bundle:

$$TM = \mathbb{R}\xi_{\theta} \oplus (\ker \eta_{\alpha}, \bar{\Omega}_{\theta}).$$

247 Let $h = g + (d\alpha)^2$ be a metric of W , ξ_{θ} is Killing for the metric h , then $(\bar{\Omega}_{\theta}, \eta_{\alpha})$ is K-cosymplectic structure on
248 $W = M \times \mathbb{S}^1$.

249 \square

250 3.6.1. coKähler structure in dimension three and gauge equation solution

Definition 3.10. *An almost contact metric structure (θ, ξ, η, g) on an odd-dimensional smooth manifold M is coKähler if it is cosymplectic and normal, that is $N_{\theta} + d\eta \otimes \xi = 0$, where N_{θ} is the Nijenhuis torsion of θ , defined as:*

$$N_{\theta}(X, Y) = \theta^2[X, Y] - \theta([\theta X, Y] + [X, \theta Y]) + [\theta X, \theta Y].$$

251 As it is known, an almost contact metric structure is coKähler if and only if both $\nabla^{lc}\eta = 0$ and $\nabla^{lc}\Omega = 0$, where
252 ∇^{lc} is the covariant differentiation with respect g and Ω the fundamental 2-form of the almost contact metric
253 structure. From [15](Theorem 6.7) we have the following assertion:

254 **Proposition 3.8.** *An cosymplectic manifold $(M, \theta, \xi, \eta, g)$ is coKähler if and only if $\nabla^{lc}\theta = 0$.*

255 From [16], coKähler manifolds are odd-dimensional analog of Kähler manifolds:

256 **Theorem 3.11.** [16] *Any coKähler manifold is a Kähler mapping torus.*

257 coKähler manifolds coincide with cosymplectic manifolds in Blair's sense.

258 **Theorem 3.12.** *Let M be a 3-dimensional manifold, the following assertions are equivalent:*

- 259 1. M admits a coKähler structure(Kähler mapping torus),
- 260 2. It exists a metric on M such that gauge equation of the Levi-Civita connection admits a non-zero
261 skew-symmetric solution .

Proof. The necessary part (1) implies (2): Assume that M admit a coKähler structure, then there exist a almost contact metric structure (θ, ξ, η, g) on M where η is a 1-form, θ is an endomorphism of TM , ξ is a non-vanishing vector field such that such that

$$\eta(\xi) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \theta^2 = -I + \eta \otimes \xi.$$

The compatible Riemannian metric g satisfies :

$$g(\theta X, \theta Y) = g(X, Y) - \eta(X)\eta(Y) \quad \text{and} \quad g(\theta X, Y) = -g(X, \theta Y),$$

for any two vector fields $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M)$. From [15](Theorem 6.7) the Levi-Civita connection ∇^{lc} of the compatible metric g satisfies $\nabla^{lc}\theta = 0$. It exists a metric g on M such that gauge equation of the Levi-Civita connection admits a non-zero skew-symmetric solution.

Let proves the sufficient part (2) implies (1): Let θ be a skew-symmetric solution of the gauge equation ($\nabla^{lc}\theta = 0$). By assumption the rank of θ is 2, from the Proposition 3.7, we know that M admits a K-cosymplectic structures. From [17][proposition 2.8] M admits a coKähler structure.

□

Remark 3.13. *Let (M, g) be a closed orientable 3-dimensional Riemannian manifold such that the gauge equation of Levi-Civita connection of g admits a skew-symmetric solution. From work of Etienne Ghys [38]*

$$M \simeq \left\{ \frac{\mathbb{T}^2 \times [0, 1]}{(x, 0) \sim (Ax, 1)} \right\} \cup \{\text{Seifert fiber space}\}$$

, where A is a Kahler isometry of \mathbb{T}^2 .

Acknowledgments: The authors wish to thank Pr. M. Boyom for the fruitful discussions about the gauge equation that he has pointed out to our attention.

Conflicts of Interest: ‘The author declare no conflict of interest

References

1. Amari, S.; Barndorff-Nielsen, O.; of Mathematical Statistics, I.; Kass, R.; Lauritzen, S.; Rao, C. *Differential Geometry in Statistical Inference*; American Oriental Series, Institute of Mathematical Statistics, 1987.
2. Amari, S.i. *Differential-geometrical methods in statistics*; Vol. 28, Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
3. Sasaki, S. On differentiable manifolds with certain structures which are closely related to almost contact structure, I. *Tohoku Mathematical Journal* **1960**, *12*, 459 – 476. doi:10.2748/tmj/1178244407.
4. Cabrerizo, J.; Fernández, M.; Gómez, J. On the existence of almost contact structure and the contact magnetic field. *Acta Mathematica Hungarica - ACTA MATH HUNG* **2009**, *125*, 191–199. doi:10.1007/s10474-009-9005-1.
5. Chinea, D.; Gonzalez, C. A classification of almost contact metric manifolds. *Annali di Matematica pura ed applicata* **1990**, *156*, 15–36.
6. Gromov, M.L. Stable mappings of foliations into manifolds. *Mathematics of the USSR-Izvestiya* **1969**, *3*, 671.
7. Lutz, R. Sur l’existence de certaines formes différentielles remarquables sur la sphere S^3 . *CR Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. AB* **1970**, *270*, A1597–A1599.
8. Martinet, J. Formes de contact sur les variétés de dimension 3. Proceedings of Liverpool Singularities Symposium II. Springer, 1971, pp. 142–163.
9. Etnyre, J.B. Contact structures on 5-manifolds. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1210.5208* **2012**.
10. Casals, R.; Pancholi, D.M.; Presas, F. Almost contact 5-manifolds are contact. *Annals of Mathematics* **2015**, pp. 429–490.
11. Barden, D. Simply connected five-manifolds. *Ann. Math. (2)* **1965**, *82*, 365–385. doi:10.2307/1970702.
12. Libermann, P. Sur quelques exemples de structures pfaffiennes et presque cosymplectiques. *Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata* **1962**, *60*, 153–172.
13. Albert, C. Le théoreme de réduction de Marsden-Weinstein en géométrie cosymplectique et de contact. *Journal of Geometry and Physics* **1989**, *6*, 627–649.
14. LIBERMANN, M.P. Sur les automorphismes infinitesimaux des structures syplectiques et des structures de contact. *Coll. Géom. Diff. Globale* **1959**, pp. 37–58.
15. Blair, D.E. *Riemannian geometry of contact and symplectic manifolds*; Springer Science & Business Media, 2010.
16. Li, H. Topology of co-symplectic/co-Kähler manifolds. *Asian Journal of Mathematics* **2008**, *12*, 527–544.
17. Bazzoni, G.; Goertsches, O. K-cosymplectic manifolds. *Annals of Global Analysis and Geometry* **2015**, *47*, 239–270.

- 301 18. Chinae, D.; de León, M.; Marrero, J.C. Topology of cosymplectic manifolds. *Journal de mathématiques pures et*
302 *appliquées* **1993**, *72*, 567–591.
- 303 19. Cappelletti-Montano, B.; De Nicola, A.; Yudin, I. A survey on cosymplectic geometry. *Reviews in Mathematical*
304 *Physics* **2013**, *25*, 1343002.
- 305 20. Fujimoto, A.; Muto, H. On cosymplectic manifolds. *Tensor NS* **1974**, *28*, 43–52.
- 306 21. Olszak, Z. Locally conformal almost cosymplectic manifolds. *Colloquium Mathematicum*. Institute of Mathematics
307 Polish Academy of Sciences, 1989, Vol. 57, pp. 73–87.
- 308 22. Olszak, Z. On almost cosymplectic manifolds. *Kodai Mathematical Journal* **1981**, *4*, 239–250.
- 309 23. De León, M.; Saralegi, M. Cosymplectic reduction for singular momentum maps. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical*
310 *and General* **1993**, *26*, 5033.
- 311 24. Tischler, D. On fibering certain foliated manifolds over S^1 . *Topology* **1970**, *9*, 153–154.
- 312 25. Guillemin, V.; Miranda, E.; Pires, A.R. Codimension one symplectic foliations and regular Poisson structures.
313 *Bulletin of the Brazilian Mathematical Society, New Series* **2011**, *42*, 607–623.
- 314 26. Atkins, R. Existence of parallel sections of a vector bundle. *Journal of Geometry and Physics* **2011**, *61*, 309–311.
315 doi:<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.geomphys.2010.09.016>.
- 316 27. Petersen, P. *Riemannian geometry*; Vol. 171, Springer, 2006.
- 317 28. Opozda, B. Bochner's technique for statistical structures. *Annals of Global Analysis and Geometry* **2015**, *48*, 357–395.
- 318 29. Sasaki, S.; Hatakeyama, Y. On differentiable manifolds with certain structures which are closely related to almost
319 contact structure. II. *Tohoku Mathematical Journal, Second Series* **1961**, *13*, 281–294.
- 320 30. Ehresmann, C. Sur les variétés presque complexes. Proceedings of the International Congress of Mathematicians,
321 Cambridge, Mass, 1950, Vol. 2, pp. 412–419.
- 322 31. Vaisman, I. Symplectic curvature tensors. *Monatshefte für Mathematik* **1985**, *100*, 299–327.
- 323 32. Bourgeois, F.; Cahen, M. A variational principle for symplectic connections. *Journal of Geometry and Physics* **1999**,
324 *30*, 233–265.
- 325 33. Motomiya, K. A study on almost contact manifolds. *Tohoku Mathematical Journal, Second Series* **1968**, *20*, 73–90.
- 326 34. Obata, M. Affine connections on manifolds with almost complex, quaternion or Hermitian structure. Japanese journal
327 of mathematics: transactions and abstracts. The Mathematical Society of Japan, 1956, Vol. 26, pp. 43–77.
- 328 35. Bieliavsky, P.; Cahen, M.; Gutt, S.; Rawnsley, J.; Schwachhöfer, L. Symplectic connections. *International Journal of*
329 *Geometric Methods in Modern Physics* **2006**, *3*, 375–420.
- 330 36. Lichnerowicz, A. Variétés localement kählériennes. *Séminaire Bourbaki* **1954**.
- 331 37. Tondeur, P. *Geometry of foliations*; Number 90 in Monographs in Mathematics, Springer Science & Business Media,
332 1997.
- 333 38. Ghys, É. Classification des feuilletages totalement géodésiques de codimension un. *Commentarii Mathematici*
334 *Helvetici* **1983**, *58*, 543–572.